

The Laplacian on Riem. mfd's

Def : For $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Laplacian is defined as

$$\Delta f = - \sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2})$$

Rmk The reason for this choice of sign convention will be clear later

Def For $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define

- .) The differential of f as $df = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx^i \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$
- .) The gradient of f as $\text{grad } f = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$
- .) The Hessian of f as $\text{Hess } f = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} dx^i \otimes dx^j \in \Gamma_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

For $X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define $\text{div } X = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^i} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

For $\omega = \omega_j dx^j \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define $d\omega = - \sum_{j,i=1}^n \frac{\partial \omega_j}{\partial x^i} dx^i \wedge dx^j \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Rmk With these definitions, we can introduce the Laplacian in different ways:

$$\Delta f = - \text{div}(\text{grad } f) = d^* df = - \text{tr}(\text{Hess } f)$$

$$.) \text{ If } f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta f dx^1 \dots dx^n = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \text{div}(\text{grad } f) dx^1 \dots dx^n = 0$$

$$.) \text{ A computation shows } \text{div}(\varphi \cdot X) = \langle \text{grad } \varphi, X \rangle + \varphi \cdot \text{div } X \\ \Rightarrow \text{div}(\varphi \cdot \text{grad } f) = \langle \text{grad } \varphi, \text{grad } f \rangle + \varphi \cdot \Delta f$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \Delta f dx^1 \dots dx^n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \text{grad } \varphi, \text{grad } f \rangle dx^1 \dots dx^n$$

// $d^ d\varphi$, justifying the notation d^**

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle d\varphi, df \rangle dx^1 \dots dx^n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta \varphi \cdot f dx^1 \dots dx^n$$

$$\forall f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Def Let (M, g) be a Riem. mfd and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

) Recall that for $p \in M$ $T_p f : T_p M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear

$$\Rightarrow df(p) \in T_p^* M \Rightarrow df : p \mapsto df(p) \quad df \in \Omega^1(M)$$

$$\text{Locally, } df = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dx^i = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dx^i \quad (\text{Einstein summation convention})$$

df is called differential of f

) $\text{grad } f := (df)^\# \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ i.e. $\langle \text{grad } f, X \rangle = df(X) \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$

$$\text{Locally, } \text{grad } f = g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}$$

$\text{grad } f$ is called gradient of f

) Let $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, then we define $\text{div } X = \text{tr}(Y \mapsto \nabla_Y X)$ as the divergence of X

$$\text{Locally, } \nabla_Y X = (Y^j \partial_j X^k + Y^j X^i \Gamma_{ji}^k) \partial_k \in \mathcal{X}(M) = T_p^*(M)$$

$$(Y \mapsto \nabla_Y X) = (\partial_j X^k + X^i \Gamma_{ji}^k) dx^j \otimes \partial_k \in T_p^*(M)$$

$$\text{tr}(Y \mapsto \nabla_Y X) = \partial_j X^j + X^i \Gamma_{ki}^k \in C^\infty(M)$$

) For $\omega \in \Omega^1(M) = T_p^0(M)$, $\nabla \omega \in T_p^0(M)$ is def. by

$$\nabla \omega(X, Y) := \nabla_Y \omega(X) = Y(\omega(X)) - \omega(\nabla_Y X)$$

and $d^* \omega \in C^\infty(M)$ is def by $- \text{tr}_g \nabla \omega$

Locally, $\nabla \omega = \nabla \omega(\partial_i, \partial_j) dx^i \otimes dx^j$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \omega(\partial_i, \partial_j) &= \partial_i(\omega(\partial_j)) - \omega(\nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j) \\ &= \partial_i \omega_j - \omega(\Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k) = \partial_i \omega_j - \Gamma_{ij}^k \omega_k \end{aligned}$$

) The Laplace operator of (M, g) is

$$\Delta g f = -\text{div}(\text{grad } f) = d^* df = -\text{tr}_g (\nabla df) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\text{tr}_g (\nabla^2 f)$$

$$\text{Locally, } \Delta g f = -g^{ij} (\partial_{ij}^2 f - \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k f)$$

Rem $\text{div } X = \text{tr}(Y \mapsto \nabla_Y X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_i} X, e_i \rangle$, where $\{e_i\}$ is a local ONB

$$\text{If } X = \text{grad } f, \text{ then } \text{div grad } f = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_i} \text{grad } f, e_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{e_i}^2 f = \text{tr}_g \nabla^2 f$$

\Rightarrow justifies the above equalities

[LOG 1]

Theorem (Gauss divergence theorem) If (M, g) is a Riem mfd and $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ is a vector field with cpt. support, then $\int_M \operatorname{div} X \, dV_g = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div}(\varphi X) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_i} (\varphi X), e_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i(\varphi) \cdot X + \varphi \nabla_{e_i} X, e_i \rangle \\ &= \left\langle X, \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n e_i(\varphi) \cdot e_i}_{=\langle \operatorname{grad} \varphi, e_i \rangle} \right\rangle + \varphi \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_i} X, e_i \rangle \\ &= \langle X, \operatorname{grad} \varphi \rangle + \varphi \operatorname{div} X\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \int_M \varphi \cdot \Delta_g f \, dV_g &= \int_M \langle \operatorname{grad} \varphi, \operatorname{grad} f \rangle \, dV_g = \int_M \underbrace{\Delta_g \varphi \cdot f}_{=d^* d\varphi, \text{ again justifying the notation}} \, dV_g \\ &= \int_M \langle df, df \rangle \, dV_g \\ &\quad \text{if } f \in C^\infty(M), \varphi \in C_c^\infty(M)\end{aligned}$$

Rem.) The scalar product of $w, \eta \in \Omega^1(M)$ is def. by $\langle w, \eta \rangle := \langle w^\sharp, \eta^\sharp \rangle$

Locally, $\langle w, \eta \rangle = g^{ij} w_i \eta_j$

.) Locally, $dV_g = \sqrt{g} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$, with $\sqrt{g} := \det(g_{ij})$

For $f, \varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, supported in a coord. nbhd, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \Delta_g f \cdot \varphi \, dV_g &= \int_M \langle df, d\varphi \rangle \, dV_g = \int_M g^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j \varphi \sqrt{g} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ &= - \int_M \partial_j (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \partial_i f) \cdot \varphi \, dV_g \\ &= - \int_M \underbrace{\sqrt{g} \partial_j (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \partial_i f) \cdot \varphi}_{dV_g} \, dV_g \\ \Rightarrow \Delta f &= - \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_j (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \partial_i f)\end{aligned}$$

Def A function f on a Riem mfd (M, g) is called harmonic if $\Delta f = 0$

Rem The Laplace equation $\Delta f = 0$ is the Euler-Lagrange eq. of the functional $f \mapsto E(f) := \frac{1}{2} \int_M |df|^2 \, dV_g$:

For $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$ with compact support,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} E(f + t\varphi) = \int_M \langle df, d\varphi \rangle^2 \, dV = \int_M \Delta_g f \cdot \varphi \, dV_g$$

The spectrum of the Laplacian on (S^n, g_{std})

Recall: We define $S^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} / \|x\| = 1\}$ and $g_{\text{std}} = \text{genel}|_{S^n}$

We have $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, g_{\text{std}}) \xrightarrow{\text{isom}} ((0, \infty) \times S^n, dr^2 + r^2 g_{\text{std}})$

Prop Let $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}, \Delta_{S^n}$ be the Laplacians of $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, g_{\text{std}}), (S^n, g_{\text{std}})$, resp.

Then we have $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} = -\partial_{rr}^2 - \frac{n}{r}\partial_r + \frac{1}{r^2}\Delta_{S^n}$

Proof Let $(U, \varphi = (x^1, \dots, x^n))$ be an arbitrary chart on S^n and $((0, \infty) \times U, \text{id} \times \varphi)$ the corresponding coord. of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \cong (0, \infty) \times S^n$. Denote the r -coord. by 0.

Let $\{g_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ the rep. of g_{S^n} in (U, φ) , $\{\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha, \beta \leq n}$ the rep. of g_{std} .

Then, $\tilde{g}_{00} = 1$, $\tilde{g}_{0i} = \tilde{g}_{i0} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\tilde{g}_{ij} = r^2 g_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}_j^k &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{ka} (\partial_i \tilde{g}_{aj} + \partial_j \tilde{g}_{ai} - \partial_a \tilde{g}_{ij}) \quad (\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n) \quad \{i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ \text{No off-diag comp} &\quad \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{kl} (\partial_i \tilde{g}_{kj} + \partial_j \tilde{g}_{ki} - \partial_k \tilde{g}_{ij}) \quad (l = 1, \dots, n) \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{kl} (\partial_i \tilde{g}_{kj} + \partial_j \tilde{g}_{ki} - \partial_k \tilde{g}_{ij}) \quad (\tilde{g}^{kl} = r^2 g^{kl}, \tilde{g}_{ij} = r^2 g_{ij}) \\ &\quad = \tilde{\Gamma}_j^k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}_j^0 &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{0\alpha} (\partial_i \tilde{g}_{aj} + \partial_j \tilde{g}_{ai} - \partial_a \tilde{g}_{ij}) \quad \tilde{g}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (r^2 g)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{No off-diag comp.} &\quad \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\underbrace{\partial_i \tilde{g}_{0j}}_0 + \underbrace{\partial_j \tilde{g}_{0i}}_0 - \underbrace{\partial_0 \tilde{g}_{ij}}_{r^2 g_{ij}}) = -r \tilde{g}_{ij} \\ &\quad = \tilde{\Gamma}_j^0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{00} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{0\beta} (\underbrace{\partial_0 \tilde{g}_{\beta 0}}_{\text{const}} + \underbrace{\partial_0 \tilde{g}_{00}}_{\text{const}} - \underbrace{\partial_\beta \tilde{g}_{00}}_{\text{const}}) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} &= -\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_{\alpha\beta}^2 - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \partial_\gamma) \\ &= -\tilde{g}^{00} (\partial_{00}^2 - \tilde{\Gamma}_{00}^\gamma \partial_\gamma) - \tilde{g}^{ij} (\partial_{ij}^2 - \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^0 \partial_0 - \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \partial_k) \\ &\quad \underset{=0}{=} \tilde{g}^{00} \quad \underset{=0}{=} \tilde{g}^{ij} \quad \underset{=0}{=} \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^0 \quad \underset{=0}{=} \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \\ &= -\partial_{00}^2 - r^{-2} \tilde{g}^{ij} (\partial_{ij}^2 - \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \partial_k) - \tilde{r} \tilde{g}^{ij} \tilde{g}_{ij} \partial_0 \\ &= -\partial_{00}^2 - r^{-1} \cdot n \partial_0 + r^{-2} \Delta_{S^n} \quad \delta_{ij} = n \end{aligned}$$

Let $H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree k .

Then $H(x) = \|x\|^k H\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$. In other words, it can be written as $H(x) = r^k \tilde{\Phi}(y)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \cong (0, \infty) \times S^n$ for some $\tilde{\Phi} \in C^\infty(S^n)$

If H is harmonic, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} H = \left(-\partial_{rr}^2 - \frac{n}{r} \partial_r + \frac{1}{r^2} \Delta_{S^n}\right)(r^k \tilde{\Phi}) \\ &= -k(k-1)r^{k-2} \tilde{\Phi} - n \cdot k r^{k-2} \tilde{\Phi} + r^{k-2} \Delta_{S^n} \tilde{\Phi} \\ &= -k(n+k-1) r^{k-2} \tilde{\Phi} + r^{k-2} \Delta_{S^n} \tilde{\Phi} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \Delta_{S^n} \tilde{\Phi} = k(n+k-1) \tilde{\Phi}$, i.e. $\tilde{\Phi}$ is an eigenfunction of Δ_{S^n} with eigenvalue $k(n+k-1)$

For example, if H is of degree $k=0$, it is constant, hence harmonic

$\Rightarrow \tilde{\Phi}$ will also be constant on S^n and $\Delta_{S^n} \tilde{\Phi} = 0$

If H is hom. of degree 1, i.e. $H(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i x^i$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} H = 0 \Rightarrow$ harmonic

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_{S^n} \tilde{\Phi} = (n-1) \tilde{\Phi}$$

Let $P_k = \{H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \mid H \text{ hom. pol. of deg. } k\}$,

$$H_k = \{H \in P_k \mid \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} H = 0\}$$

We have seen $P_0 = H_0$, $P_1 = H_1$. One can show $P_k = H_k \oplus r^2 P_{k-2} \quad \forall k \geq 2$

$$\rightarrow P_{2k} = H_{2k} \oplus r^2 H_{2k-2} \oplus \dots \oplus r^{2k} H_0$$

$$P_{2k+1} = H_{2k+1} \oplus r^2 H_{2k-1} \oplus \dots \oplus r^{2k} H_1$$

In particular, all H_k are nonempty and all $k(n+k-1)$ are eigenvalues of Δ_{S^n} with corresponding eigenspaces $E_{k(n+k-1)} = \{H|_{S^n} \in C^\infty(S^n) \mid H \in H_k\}$

Stone-Weierstrass theorem: Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a cpt. subset. Then for every $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of polynomials s.t. $\|f_n - f\|_{C^0(K)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

$\Rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} E_{k(n+k-1)}$ is C^0 -dense in $C^\infty(S^n)$, hence also L^2 -dense in $C^\infty(S^n)$, and $C^\infty(S^n)$ is L^2 -dense in $L^2(S^n)$

$\Rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} E_{k(n+k-1)}$ is L^2 -dense in $L^2(S^n)$

With the results of next week, we can say that we found all eigenvalues and eigenspaces of Δ_{S^n}