

Symmetries of Painlevé equations: Lecture 11 (B3)

by M. Noumi [April 30, 2021]

4 Relation to integrable hierarchies

In general, there are several ways to interpret a Painlevé equation as the compatibility condition of a linear differential equation. In this section, we explain how the Painlevé equations P_{II} , P_{IV} as well as P_V are related with the modified KP hierarchy.

4.1 A Lax pair for P_{IV}

We consider a system of linear differential equations

$$x\partial_x \mathbf{u} = A(x, t)\mathbf{u}, \quad \partial_t \mathbf{u} = B(x, t)\mathbf{u}. \quad (4.1)$$

for a vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)^t$ of unknown functions $u_i = u_i(x, t)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) in two variables (x, t) , where $\partial_x = \partial/\partial x$ and $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$. We assume that

$$A(x, t) = (a_{ij}(x, t))_{i,j=1}^n, \quad B(x, t) = (b_{ij}(x, t))_{i,j=1}^n \quad (4.2)$$

are $n \times n$ matrices of rational functions in x depending holomorphically on t . Then the compatibility (integrability, zero curvature) condition for (4.1) is given by

$$[x\partial_x - A(x, t), \partial_t - B(x, t)] = \partial_t A(x, t) - x\partial_x B(x, t) + [A(x, t), B(x, t)] = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where $[,]$ denotes the commutator of matrices of differential operators. In general, this type of compatibility condition implies a system of nonlinear differential equations for the coefficients of $A(x, t)$, $B(x, t)$. In the following, we use the notations like $u_i(x) = u_i(x, t)$, $A(x) = A(x, t)$, $B(x) = B(x, t)$, ... suppressing the dependence on t .

The symmetric form S_{IV} of the fourth Painlevé equation P_{IV} is relevant to the following system of linear differential equations. Setting $n = 3$, we consider 3×3 matrices $A(x)$, $B(x)$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= - \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & f_1 & 1 \\ x & \varepsilon_2 & f_2 \\ f_0 x & x & \varepsilon_3 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & f_1 & 1 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 & f_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ f_0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x, \\ B(x) &= \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & v_2 & -1 \\ -x & 0 & v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & v_2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & v_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t A(x) - x \partial_x B(x) + [A(x), B(x)] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -\varepsilon'_1 & \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + (v_1 - v_2)f_1 - f'_1 & f_1 - f_2 + v_1 - v_3 \\ (f_2 - f_0 + v_2 - v_1)x & -\varepsilon'_2 & \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 + (v_2 - v_3)f_2 - f'_2 \\ (1 + \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_1 + (v_3 - v_1)f_0 - f'_0)x & (f_0 - f_1 + v_3 - v_2)x & -\varepsilon'_3 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $' = \partial_t$, and hence, the compatibility condition is given by

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon'_1 = 0 \\ \varepsilon'_2 = 0 \\ \varepsilon'_3 = 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} f'_0 = (v_3 - v_1)f_0 + (1 + \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_1) \\ f'_1 = (v_1 - v_2)f_1 + (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \\ f'_2 = (v_2 - v_3)f_2 + (\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3) \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} f_1 - f_2 = v_3 - v_1 \\ f_2 - f_0 = v_1 - v_2 \\ f_0 - f_1 = v_2 - v_3 \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

This system is equivalent to the symmetric form S_{IV} :

$$\begin{cases} f'_0 = (f_1 - f_2)f_0 + \alpha_0 \\ f'_1 = (f_2 - f_0)f_1 + \alpha_1 \\ f'_2 = (f_1 - f_2)f_2 + \alpha_2 \end{cases} \quad \text{with parameters} \quad \begin{cases} \alpha_0 = 1 + \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_1 \\ \alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \\ \alpha_2 = \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

The v variables here can be parametrized by hamiltonians as

$$v_1 = h_1 - h_0, \quad v_2 = h_2 - h_1, \quad v_3 = h_0 - h_2. \quad (4.7)$$

4.2 Relation to the n -reduced modified KP hierarchy

Generalizing this construction of the symmetric form S_{IV} for P_{IV} , one can formulate a class of nonlinear differential equations associated with $n \times n$ linear differential systems for arbitrary $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

In the following, we denote by $\mathbb{C}((x^{-1})) = \mathbb{C}[[x^{-1}]](x)$ the ring of formal Laurent series in x whose exponents are bounded above, and by $\text{Mat}(n; \mathbb{C}((x^{-1})))$ the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}((x^{-1}))$; $\text{Mat}(n; \mathbb{C}((x^{-1})))$ is the space of all matrices

$$A(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_k x^k, \quad A_k \in \text{Mat}(n; \mathbb{C}) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}), \quad (4.8)$$

such that $A_k = 0$ for $k \gg 0$. We also denote by $\text{GL}(n; \mathbb{C}((x^{-1})))$ the group of invertible matrices in $\text{Mat}(n; \mathbb{C}((x^{-1})))$. (We regard $\text{Mat}(n; \mathbb{C}((x^{-1})))$ and $\text{GL}(n; \mathbb{C}((x^{-1})))$ as formal versions of the loop algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n = \text{Mat}(n; \mathbb{C})$ and the loop group of $\text{GL}(n; \mathbb{C})$.) We introduce the matrix (*cyclic element*)

$$\Lambda(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_{i,i+1} + E_{1,n}x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ x & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Mat}(n; \mathbb{C}((x^{-1}))) \quad (4.9)$$

so that

$$\Lambda(x)^k = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} E_{i,i+k} + \sum_{j=1}^k x E_{n-k+j,j} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1), \quad \Lambda(x)^n = x I_n. \quad (4.10)$$

(Note that $\Lambda(x)^k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) are generators of the Heisenberg algebra). Then each matrix $A(x) \in \text{Mat}(n; \mathbb{C}((x^{-1})))$ can be expressed in the form

$$A(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^m \text{diag}(\mathbf{a}_k) \Lambda(x)^k, \quad \mathbf{a}_k \in \mathbb{C}^n \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k \leq m) \quad (4.11)$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\text{diag}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E_{ii}$ denotes the diagonal matrix attached to $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. In the following, we use the notation

$$A(x)_{\geq 0} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \text{diag}(\mathbf{a}_k) \Lambda(x)^k, \quad A(x)_{< 0} = \sum_{k < 0} \text{diag}(\mathbf{a}_k) \Lambda(x)^k, \quad (4.12)$$

for the nonnegative part and the negative part of $A(x)$ respectively, so that $A(x) = A(x)_{\geq 0} + A(x)_{< 0}$. In this convention, the nonnegative part of $A(x)$ takes the form

$$A(x)_{\geq 0} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1^{(0)} & a_1^{(1)} & \dots & \dots & a_1^{(n-1)} \\ 0 & a_2^{(0)} & a_2^{(1)} & \dots & a_2^{(n-2)} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{n-1}^{(0)} & a_{n-1}^{(1)} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_1^{(n)} & a_1^{(n+1)} & \dots & a_1^{(2n-1)} \\ a_2^{(n-1)} & a_2^{(n)} & \dots & a_2^{(2n-2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_n^{(1)} & a_n^{(2)} & \dots & a_n^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} x + \dots \quad (4.13)$$

with $\mathbf{a}_k = (a_1^{(k)}, \dots, a_n^{(k)})$. In particular, the matrix of the coefficients of x^0 is upper triangular.

We now consider a sequence of $n \times n$ matrices of the form

$$B_k(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \text{diag}(\mathbf{b}_r^{(k)}) \Lambda(x)^r + \Lambda(x)^k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (4.14)$$

In what follows, we use a sequence of m time variables $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m)$.¹⁾ Regarding the coefficients $\mathbf{b}_r^{(k)}$ as vectors of functions in t , we consider the system of linear partial differential equations

$$\partial_{t_k} \mathbf{u} = B_k(x) \mathbf{u} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, m) \quad (4.15)$$

for the vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)^t$ of unknown functions $u_i(x) = u(x, t)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). The compatibility condition $[\partial_{t_k} - B_k(x), \partial_{t_l} - B_l(x)] = 0$ ($k, l = 1, 2, \dots, m$) for this linear problem is called the *Zakharov-Shabat equations* for the n -reduced modified KP hierarchy: namely,

$$\partial_{t_l} B_k(x) - \partial_{t_k} B_l(x) + [B_k(x), B_l(x)] = 0 \quad (k, l = 1, 2, \dots, m). \quad (4.16)$$

¹⁾Formally, one can introduce an infinite number of time variables $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$.

Taking another $n \times n$ matrix $A(x)$ of the form

$$A(x) = \sum_{r=0}^m \text{diag}(\mathbf{a}_r) \Lambda(x)^r, \quad (4.17)$$

we consider the system of linear partial differential equations

$$x \partial_x \mathbf{u} = A(x) \mathbf{u}, \quad \partial_{t_k} \mathbf{u} = B_k(x) \mathbf{u} \quad (k = 1, \dots, m) \quad (4.18)$$

in $(x, t) = (x, t_1, \dots, t_m)$, regarding \mathbf{a}_r as functions in t as well. In this system, we also need to assume the compatibility condition $[x \partial_x - A(x), \partial_{t_k} - B_k(x)] = 0$ ($k = 1, \dots, m$) besides the Zakharov-Shabat equations (4.16):

$$\partial_{t_k} A(x) - x \partial_x B_k(x) + [A(x), B_k(x)] = 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, m). \quad (4.19)$$

These compatibility conditions (4.16) and (4.19) together can be thought of as defining a nonlinear system of partial differential equations of ‘‘Painlevé type’’. We remark that, in the previous setting of the Lax pair for the symmetric form S_{IV} , we considered the case where $n = 3$ and $m = 2$ and

$$\mathbf{a}_0 = -(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3), \quad \mathbf{a}_1 = -(f_1, f_2, f_0), \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = -(1, 1, 1). \quad (4.20)$$

In the context of modified KP hierarchy, this procedure of adding the linear equation $x \partial_x \mathbf{u} = A(x) \mathbf{u}$ in x is interpreted as the *similarity reduction* as we will see below.

In the theory of modified KP hierarchy, we usually assume that the linear problem (4.15) has a system of fundamental formal solutions of the form

$$\Psi(x) = W(x) e^{\sum_{k=1}^m t_k \Lambda(x)^k}, \quad W(x) = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \text{diag}(\mathbf{w}_r) \Lambda(x)^{-r} \in \text{GL}(n; \mathbb{C}[[x^{-1}]]) \quad (4.21)$$

The system of equations to be satisfied by the *wave operator* $W(x)$,

$$\partial_{t_k} W(x) = B_k(x) W(x) - W(x) \Lambda(x)^k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots), \quad (4.22)$$

is sometimes called the *Sato equation*. We define the *Lax operator* $L(x)$ by

$$L(x) = W(x) \Lambda(x) W(x)^{-1}, \quad L(x) = \Lambda(x) + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \text{diag}(\mathbf{u}_r) \Lambda(x)^{1-r} \quad (4.23)$$

Then, $L(x)$ is characterized by the *Lax equation*

$$\partial_{t_k} L(x) = [B_k(x), L(x)], \quad B_k(x) = (L(x)^k)_{\geq 0} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (4.24)$$

We also remark that, if $W(x)$ is obtained through the *Riemann-Hilbert-Birkhoff decomposition*

$$e^{\sum_k t_k \Lambda(x)^k} \overset{\circ}{C}(x) = W(x)^{-1} Z(x), \quad Z(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_r) \Lambda(x)^r, \quad (4.25)$$

for a given a matrix $\overset{\circ}{C}(x) \in \text{GL}(n; \mathbb{C}((x^{-1})))$ which does not depend on t , then $W(t)$ gives rises to a solution to (4.22) with $B_k(x) = (L(x)^k)_{\geq 0}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$).

Note that $\Lambda(x)$ satisfies the commutation relation

$$[nx\partial_x + \text{diag}(\rho), \Lambda(x)] = \Lambda(x), \quad \rho = (n-1, n-2, \dots, 0). \quad (4.26)$$

In view of

$$L(x) = W(x)\Lambda(x)W(x)^{-1} = \Psi(x)\Lambda(x)\Psi(x)^{-1}, \quad (4.27)$$

we define the M -operator $M(x)$ by

$$M(x) = \Psi(x)(nx\partial_x + \text{diag}(\rho))\Psi(x)^{-1} \quad (4.28)$$

Then we have

$$[M(x), L(x)] = L(x), \quad \partial_{t_k} M(x) = [B_k(x), M(x)] \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (4.29)$$

Furthermore, the matrix

$$\Phi(x) = \Psi(x)x^{-\text{diag}(\rho/n)} = W(x)e^{\sum_k t_k \Lambda(x)^k} x^{-\text{diag}(\rho/n)} \quad (4.30)$$

satisfies the system of differential equations

$$M(x)\Phi(x) = 0, \quad \partial_{t_k} \Phi(x) = B_k(x)\Phi(x) \quad (k = 1, \dots, m). \quad (4.31)$$

By (4.21), (4.28), we see that the M -operator is decomposed as $M(x) = M(x)_{\geq 0} + M(x)_{< 0}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x)_{\geq 0} &= nx\partial_x + \rho - \sum_{k=1}^m kt_k B_k(x), \quad B_k(x) = (L(x)^k)_{\geq 0}, \\ M(x)_{< 0} &= -[nx\partial_x + \text{diag}(\rho), W(x)]W(x)^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^m kt_k B_k^c(x), \quad B_k^c(x) = -(L(x)^k)_{< 0}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

From this expression, we see that the negative part $M(x)_{< 0}$ vanishes if and only if the coefficients of the wave operator $W(x)$ satisfy the *similarity condition*

$$\sum_{k=1}^m kt_k \partial_{t_k}(\mathbf{w}_r) = -r\mathbf{w}_r \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (4.33)$$

(the homogeneity condition in $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$ with respect to the degrees $\deg t_k = k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$)). We define the matrix $A(x)$ by

$$A(x) = -\text{diag}(\frac{\rho}{n}) + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{k}{n} t_k B_k \quad (4.34)$$

so that $M(x)_{\geq 0} = n(x\partial_x - A(x))$. Then under the similarity condition (4.33), the matrix

$$\Phi(x) = \Psi(x)x^{-\text{diag}(\rho/n)} = W(x)e^{\sum_k t_k \Lambda(x)^k} x^{-\text{diag}(\rho/n)} \quad (4.35)$$

satisfies the system of linear equations

$$x\partial_x \Phi(x) = A(x)\Phi(x), \quad \partial_{t_k} \Phi(x) = B_k(x)\Phi(x) \quad (k = 1, \dots, m). \quad (4.36)$$

This means that the system of nonlinear equations

$$[x\partial_x - A(x), \partial_{t_k} - B_k(x)] = 0, \quad [\partial_{t_k} - B_k(x), \partial_{t_l} - B_l(x)] = 0 \quad (k, l = 1, \dots, m) \quad (4.37)$$

of ‘‘Painlevé type’’ can be solved (has solutions obtained) by the similarity reduction of the n -reduced modified KP hierarchy.

In fact, the three cases of Painlevé equations P_{II} , P_{IV} , P_{V} are interpreted as similarity reduction of the n -reduced modified KP hierarchy (= modified Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$) for $n = 2, 3, 4$ respectively. It is also known that P_{VI} can be interpreted by a version of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of type $D_4^{(1)}$.