Conclusions

Optimal Transmission with Per-antenna Power Constraints for Multiantenna Bidirectional Broadcast Channels

Phuong L. Cao, Tobias J. Oechtering, Mikael Skoglund

KTH - Royal Institute of Technology



Rio De Janeiro, Brazil - 2016

OVERVIEW

INTRODUCTION Introduction

PROBLEM FORMULATION System model Problem formulation

MAIN RESULTS Optimal stransmit strategy

NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION	PROBLEM FORMULATION	MAIN RESULTS	NUMERICAL EXAMPLES	CONCLUSIONS
●000	000	0000000		

STATE OF THE ART

- ► Relay communication, relay channels
- Bidirectional communication using the network coding idea can greatly improve the throughput in relaying (P. Larsson *et al.*, AdHoc'05 2005)
- Optimal transmit strategies with sum power constraints for MISO (T. Oechtering *et al.*, TSP 2009), MIMO (T. Oechtering *et al.*, TCOM 2009). MISO bidirectional broadcast channel transmits into the subspace spanned by the channels only.
- ► Transmitter optimization for the multi-antenna downlink with per-antenna power constraint (W. Yu and T. Lan, TSP 2007)
- MISO capacity (M. Vu, TCOM 2011), MIMO capacity (M. Vu, GLOBECOM 2011; Z. Pi, GLOBECOM 2012) with per-antenna power constraint

The bidirectional broadcast channels with average per-antenna power constraints have not been considered yet.

BIDIRECTIONAL RELAY CHANNELS



¹ T. J. Oechtering, R. F. Wyrembelski, and H. Boche, "Multiantenna bidirectional broadcast channels - Optimal transmit strategies," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Process.*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 19481958, May 2009.

WHY PER-ANTENNA POWER CONSTRAINTS?

 Sum power constraints are imposed e.g., by regulations or to limit the energy consumption,

$$\mathcal{S}_1 := \{ \mathbf{Q} \succeq 0 : \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Q}) \le P_{tot} \}.$$

 Per-antenna power constraints are imposed by hardware limitation of each RF chain,

$$\mathcal{S} := \{ \mathbf{Q} \succeq 0 : \mathbf{e}_i^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{e}_i \le \hat{P}_i, i = 1, ..., n \}.$$

► Joint sum and per-antenna power constraints².

² P. Cao, T. Oechtering, R. Shaefer and M. Skoglund, "Optimal Transmit Strategies for MISO Channels with Joint Sum and Per-antenna power constraints," *Trans. on Signal Processing.*, May. 2016.

INTRODUCTION	PROBLEM FORMULATION	MAIN RESULTS	NUMERICAL EXAMPLES	CONCLUSIONS
0000	000	0000000		

BIDIRECTIONAL BC CHANNELS - System model

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{h}_i + z_i, \ i = 1, 2$$

- Transmit signal $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, ..., x_{N_R}]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{N_R \times 1}$
- ► Channel $\mathbf{h}_i = [h_{i1}, ..., h_{iN_R}]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{N_R \times 1}$, i = 1, 2
- Noise $z_i \sim C\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, i = 1, 2
- Transmit covariance matrix: $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^H \right]$

INTRODUCTION	PROBLEM FORMULATION	MAIN RESULTS	NUMERICAL EXAMPLES	CONCLUSION
0000	•00	0000000		

CAPACITY REGION AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

 Capacity region of the Gaussian MISO bidirectional broadcast channel with per-antenna power constraints:

$$\mathcal{C}_{BC}^{MISO} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{S}} \operatorname{dpch}([C_1(\mathbf{Q}), C_2(\mathbf{Q})])$$

with
$$C_i(\mathbf{Q}) := \log \left(1 + \mathbf{h}_i^H \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{h}_i\right), \ i = 1, 2.$$

 The boundary of the capacity region with a given weight vector w = [w₁, w₂] ∈ ℝ²₊ with w₁ + w₂ = 1

$$R_{\sum}(\mathbf{Q},\mathbf{w}) := w_1 \log \left(1 + \mathbf{h}_1^H \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{h}_1\right) + w_2 \log \left(1 + \mathbf{h}_2^H \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{h}_2\right).$$

BOUNDARY OF CAPACITY REGION

- Boundary of capacity region consists of:
 - Two single-user optimal sections
 - Pareto optimal section
- ► Unidirectional rate (with w = [0, 1] or w = [1, 0]) can be obtained from the single-user MISO channel with per-antenna power constraints.
- Remaining problem:

Find optimal transmit strategy \mathbf{Q} with weights $w_1, w_2 \neq 0$ MAIN RESULTS

NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

CONCLUSIONS

OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM



SOLUTION



ALTERNATIVE OPTIMIZATION APPROACH



³ J. Jose, N. Prasad, M. Khojastepour, and S. Rangarajan, "On robust weighted-sum rate maximixation in MIMO interference networks," in IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC), 2011.

INTRODUCTION 0000 PROBLEM FORMULATION

MAIN RESULTS

NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

CONCLUSIONS

ALTERNATIVE OPTIMIZATION APPROACH

Alternative optimization problem

$$\max_{\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{S}}\sum_{i=1}^{-}w_{i}\min_{D_{i}>0}\left(D_{i}(1+\mathbf{h}_{i}^{H}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{h}_{i})-\log(D_{i})-1\right)$$
(2)

Person-by-Person optimality

We propose to solve the following optimization problems to obtain $(\mathbf{Q}_{opt}^{[n]}, D_i^{[n]}), i = 1, 2$ in the n^{th} iteration

$$D_i^{[n]} = \arg\min_{D_i>0} \left(D_i (1 + \mathbf{h}_i^H \mathbf{Q}^{[n-1]} \mathbf{h}_i) - \log(D_i) \right)$$
(3)

$$\mathbf{Q}_{opt}^{[n]} = \arg\max_{\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{S}}\sum_{i=1}^{2} w_i D_i^{[n]} \mathbf{h}_i^H \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{h}_i.$$
(4)

CLOSED-FORM SOLUTIONS

Problem

$$D_i^{[n]} = \arg\min_{D_i>0} \left(D_i (1 + \mathbf{h}_i^H \mathbf{Q}^{[n-1]} \mathbf{h}_i) - \log(D_i) \right)$$

The closed-form solution can be computed using Lemma 1 as

$$D_i^{[n]} = (1 + \mathbf{h}_i^H \mathbf{Q}_{opt}^{[n-1]} \mathbf{h}_i)^{-1}.$$

CLOSED-FORM SOLUTIONS Problem

$$\mathbf{Q}_{opt}^{[n]} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i=1}^{2} w_i D_i^{[n]} \mathbf{h}_i^H \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{h}_i.$$



Off-diagonal elements:

$$q_{kl}^{[n]} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2} w_i D_i^{[n]} h_{ik}^* h_{il}}{|\sum_{i=1}^{2} w_i D_i^{[n]} h_{ik}^* h_{il}|} \sqrt{\hat{P}_k \hat{P}_l}$$

 $\forall k, l = 1, \ldots, N_R, k \neq l.$





ALGORITHM PERFORMANCE

Algorithm	Number of antennas at the relay				
Aigoituitt	2	4	6	8	
IA	0.014	0.016	0.057	0.142	
CVX	1.425	1.899	3.243	4.827	

Average running time (in secs.)

 $^{^4}$ The experiment is performed under: Processor - Intel Core i7-3740QM CPU @ 2.70GHz \times 8; Memory - 8GB; OS: Ubuntu 14.04; Matlab R2015a.

CAPACITY REGION

Characterization of boundary of capacity region:

- Two single-user optimal sections
- Pareto optimal section (curved part)

The optimal transmit strategies \mathbf{Q}_{opt} of the curved part can be parametrized as follows

$$\mathbf{Q}_{opt}(t) = \arg\max_{\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{S}} t\mathbf{h}_1^H \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{h}_1 + (1-t)\mathbf{h}_2^H \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{h}_2, \qquad (5)$$

with $t \in [0, 1]$.

The whole capacity region

$$\mathcal{C}_{BC}^{MISO} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{Q}(t)\in\mathcal{S}} \operatorname{dpch}([R_1(t), R_2(t)] : R_i(t) := \log(1 + \mathbf{h}_i^H \mathbf{Q}(t)\mathbf{h}_i),$$

 $i = 1, 2, t \in [0, 1]$).

CAPACITY REGION



Capacity region for MISO bidirectional broadcast channel with per-antenna power constraints $\hat{P}_1 = 6$, $\hat{P}_2 = 8$.

- ► A and B correspond to the cases **w** = [1,0] and **w** = [0,1],
- C denotes the egalitarian solution at $\mathbf{w} = [0.405, 0.595]$.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- With the help of Lemma 1, the optimization problem can be decomposed in to an equivalent formulation which can be solved by an efficient algorithm,
- ► The capacity region can be characterized by the parametrization,
- Practical applications.

INTRODUCTION	PROBLEM FORMULATION	MAIN RESULTS	NUMERICAL EXAMPLES	CONCLUSIONS
0000	000	0000000		

Q & A