

Accessibility Report

TE Viewer & TE Reserve



Table of Contents

HOW ACCESSIBLE ARE TE VIEWER AND TE RESERVE?		2	
ACCESSIBILITY EVALUATION REPORT			
	1. Executive Summary	2	
	2. Background about evaluation	2	
	3. Scope of Review	2	
	4. Reviewer(s)	2	
	5. Review Process	3	
	6. Results and Recommended Actions	3	
	7. References	3	
	8. Sample of audited pages	4	



HOW ACCESSIBLE ARE TE VIEWER AND TE RESERVE?

TE Viewer's and TE Reserve's accessibility have been tested internally during October 2024. We confirm that TE Viewer and TE Reserve meets levels A and AA of Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 2.1, if configured accordingly. TE Viewer and TE Reserve are very flexible to configure, therefore we recommend following the WCAG 2.1 guidelines to achieve an accessible result when doing that.

Please, feel free to contact us if you find any missing accessibility points. You can send us your feedback about accessibility to support@timeedit.com.

ACCESSIBILITY EVALUATION REPORT

1. Executive Summary

This report describes the conformance of the TE Viewer <u>W3C's Web Content</u>

<u>Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG)</u>. The review process is described in Section 5

below and is based on evaluation described in <u>Accessibility Evaluation Resources</u>.

Based on this evaluation, TE Viewer meets WCAG 2.1, Conformance Level AA. Detailed review results are available in Section 6 below. Resources for follow - up study are listed in Section 7 below. Feedback on this evaluation is welcome.

2. Background about evaluation

Conformance evaluation of web accessibility requires a combination of <u>semi-automated evaluation tools</u> and manual evaluation by an experienced reviewer. The evaluation results in this report are based on evaluation conducted on the following date(s): 202410110. TE Viewer and TE Reserve may have changed since that time.

3. Scope of Review

Products: TE Viewer and TE Reserve

• URL: https://cloud.timeedit.net/timeedit/web/1/

• Review time: 20241007 - 20241010

Language of website: English



4. Reviewer(s)

- Internal review team: Linda Johansson
- Reviewer's natural languages
 - Swedish
 - o English
- Reviewer's areas of expertise:
- Web technologies
 - Validation tools for Web technologies
 - o Web Content Accessibility Guidelines and Techniques

5. Review Process

- Testing was done mainly for WCAG 2.1 AA criteria
- Manual testing
- Tools used in the reviewing process
 - Siteimprove
 - OS X Voiceover and navigation with keyboard
 - axe Accessibility

6. Results and Recommended Actions

Reported on 49 of 50 WCAG 2.1 AA Success Criteria.

Perceivable	19 of 20
Operable	17 of 17
Understandable	10 of 10
Robust	3 Of 3

- Interpretative summary of review results
 - TE Viewer and TE Reserve appear to meet the WCAG 2.1 AA if configured accordingly
 - Accessibility features that could be improved:
 - 1.4.4 Resize text; Text is clipped when resized.




7. References

- Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) Overview https://www.w3.org/WAI/intro/wcag
- Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 2.1 https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG21/
- Techniques for WCAG 2.1
 https://www.w3.org/WAI/WCAG21/Techniques/
- Accessibility Evaluation Resources
 http://www.w3.org/WAI/eval/
- Web Accessibility Evaluation Tools List https://www.w3.org/WAI/ER/tools/
- Using Combined Expertise to Evaluate Web Accessibility https://www.w3.org/WAI/eval/reviewteams



8. Sample of audited pages

Overview page



Schedule page:





Work reservations:

