KIRUNA HOUSING

ACCESSIBILITY, FLEXIBILITY AND ADAPTABILITY IN APARTMENTS

Source: http://www.kiruna.se/PageFiles/9354/Aerial%20winter%20FINAL.jpg?epslanguage=sv
DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO ACCESSIBLE HOUSING

- **Set-Aside Approach (USA)**
  
  5% of housing funded by government accessible and set aside for disabled people. Cons: all disability features (not adapted to specific needs).

- **Adaptable housing (Sweden)**
  
  Suggested by Fokus Society in 1970s, housing that could be easily converted to full access housing.

- **Visitability**
  
  We always have to consider visitability of all housing by other people than residents.

- **Life Span Design (GB)**
  
  Design principle that counts with adapting of housing to residents needs throughout his/her whole life.
POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS - CASE STUDIES
HOUSE IN BORDEAUX - REM KOOIHAAS

+ hydraulic lifting platform - unique feature, creates interesting spaces and transitions
+ it doesn't look like it was designed specifically for person with physical impairment
- expensive, custom made
- takes up a lot of space
POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS - CASE STUDIES
HOUSE AT CHIEMSEE - WESENFELD HÖFER ARCHITEKTEN

+ the whole house was designed with physical impairment in mind
+ all rooms are accessible on the wheelchair - ramp and elevator
- ramp can be too steep for some people/visitors - designed according to the owner's needs
- ramp takes a lot of space
POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS - CASE STUDIES

HOUSE AT CHIEMSEE - WESENFELD HÖFER ARCHITEKTEN

POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS - CASE STUDIES

RESIDENCE AT THE SPREE RIVER IN BERLIN - CLARKE UND KUHN FREIE ARCHITEKTEN BDA

+ it shows that accessible housing can be designed in vertical direction as well
+ highly flexible and adaptable inside
- need of using the elevator more often because of smaller storeys
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MY PROJECT - ELEVATION

Author: Michal Kotvan
My project is located to Kiruna, a city that is situated in northern Sweden. My project contains several apartment buildings with 3-5 apartments and semi-detached houses. I have focused my analyses on the 4-storey high apartment buildings. All in all, these hold 3 different apartments: one apartment on the ground floor, two apartments on the first floor, and two maisonette apartments on the two upper floor levels. Out of sustainability concerns, the building is small and compact in order to prevent heat loss and save energy. The dominant construction material is in wood - cross laminated timber (CLT) panels. Known for exceptional strength, dimensional stability and rigidity.

http://www.rethinkwood.com/mass TIMBER/cross-laminated-timber-clt

Author: Michal Kotvan
The original layout of two lower floors without any special focus on accessibility or flexibility in the apartments. All floors, except the top floor, are accessible by an elevator that is shared by the residents.
MY PROJECT - BEFORE

The original layout of two upper floors without any special focus on accessibility or flexibility in the apartments. All floors, except the top floor, are accessible by an elevator that is shared by the residents.
Motivated out of concerns for accessibility and flexibility, I made some minor changes in the configuration of the ground floor apartment. This concerned mainly the size of the bathroom, which was increased so that it became flexible and easily adjustable to the residents’ various needs. On the first floor, the two apartments with a mirrored configuration underwent larger changes. The bedroom was moved compared to its original location, and I created a larger kitchen in an open connection with the living-room.

Author: Michal Kotvan
The main changes due to concerns for accessibility and usability were made in the maisonette apartments on the second and third floor. These changes were entangled with the placement of the individual staircase in each apartment. I chose a round staircase in order to prepare space for the slow-speed elevator that could be installed depending on the residents’ needs. On both floors, the apartments have barrier-free bathroom. On the lower floor of the maisonette apartments there is a large kitchen with an open connection.

Author: Michal Kotvan
TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS - ELEVATOR

- suitable for renovation, adding barrier-free features to existing dwelling
- adjustable
- impractical for new apartment
- requires strong staircase frame
- slow operation
- not aesthetically pleasing

TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS - ELEVATOR

Slow-speed elevator - can span up to 3 meters height difference. Chosen solution for the maisonette apartments, making them accessible for everybody.
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Another approach is to create as few physical obstacles as possible. One way of doing that is to use stepless or better level-free transitions between interior and exterior (kitchen - balcony, entrance hall - pavement in front of the building).

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Sources: