## Ex 11.1 "Glitches"

If the signals passes different amount of gate delays before they are combined at the output, then momentary unwanted deviations from the truth table can occur, so-called "glitches".

Show in Karnaugh map how to avoid them.

(in the figure, only the delay in the inverter is included - the other gate delays that do not affect the "glitch" has not been included)

## Ex 11.1 "Glitches"

If the signals passes different amount of gate delays before they are combined at the output, then momentary unwanted deviations from the truth table can occur, so-called "glitches".

Show in Karnaugh map how to avoid them.


The signal $D$ is delayed compared to $A$ BC.

(in the figure, only the delay in the inverter is included - the other gate delays that do not affect the "glitch" has not been included)

## ( with all gate delays included )
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## 11.1



Make sure the groupings in the Karnaugh map form a continuous "continent" - no islands! (You include the consensus terms to obtain the function in full prime implicator form).

## 11.1



Make sure the groupings in the Karnaugh map form a continuous "continent" - no islands! (You include the consensus terms to obtain the function in full prime implicator form).

$$
G=\bar{B} C+A B \quad\{\text { No Hazards }\} \quad G=\bar{B} C+A B+A C
$$

## 11.1



We see that the signal $X$ is "covering up" when there is a risk
 of a "glitch", to the price of a more complex network!

## Ex 11.2 SR asynchronous sequential circuit

SR-latch is an asynchronous sequential circuit.


All gate delays present in the network is thought placed in the symbol $\Delta$ which has a similar function to the D-flip-flop in a synchronous sequential circuit.

## SR Analyses:
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## SR Analyses:
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## SR Analyses:



$$
Q^{+}=\overline{R+\overline{S+Q}}=\bar{R} \cdot \overline{\overline{(S+Q)}}=\bar{R} \cdot(S+Q)=S \bar{R}+\bar{R} Q
$$

## SR Coded state table

The encoded state table is usually called excitationstable when working with asynchronous state machines.

| Present <br> state $\mathbf{Q}$ | ${\text { Next state } \mathbf{Q}^{+}}^{$$}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
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## SR Coded state table

The encoded state table is usually called excitationstable when working with asynchronous state machines.


| Present <br> state $\mathbf{Q}$ | Next state $\mathbf{Q}^{+}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Input signals SR |  |  |  |
|  | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

For each input (column), there must be at least one state where $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{Q}^{+}$. Such conditions are stable and they are usually marked by a circle.
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## SR Coded state table

The encoded state table is usually called excitationstable when working with asynchronous state machines.


| Present <br> state Q | Next state $\mathbf{Q}^{+}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Input signals SR |  |  |  |
|  | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

For each input (column), there must be at least one state where $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{Q}^{+}$. Such conditions are stable and they are usually marked by a circle.


## SR State diagram

| Present <br> state Q | Next state $\mathbf{Q}^{+}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Input signals SR |  |  |  |
|  | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
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## SR State table

The state table is named flow table when working with asynchronous state machines.

| Present <br> state Q | Next state $\mathbf{Q}^{+}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Input signals SR |  |  |  |
|  | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| A | A | A | A | B |
| B | B | A | A | B |
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## Ex 11.3 Oscillator?



## Ex 11.3 Oscillator?



$$
Q^{+}=\bar{Q}
$$
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## Ex 11.3 Oscillator?



Numerical Example: $\quad t_{p d}=5 \cdot 10^{-9} \quad f=\frac{1}{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 10^{-9}}=33 \mathrm{MHz}$

## Ex 11.3 Oscillator?



Numerical Example: $\quad t_{p d}=5 \cdot 10^{-9} \quad f=\frac{1}{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 10^{-9}}=33 \mathrm{MHz}$
Can be used to indirectly measure the gate delay of logic circuits.

## Especially for asynchronous circuits

- The states must be encoded Race-free (eg. Gray code).

SR latch is race free because there is only one state signal, which of course can not run races with itself.

- Next state decoder must be glitch free / Hazard free (with the consensus terms included).

SR-latch circuit groupings are contiguous in the Karnaugh map, there are no more consensus terms that need to be included.


## Especially for asynchronous circuits

- The states must be encoded Race-free (eg. Gray code).

SR latch is race free because there is only one state signal, which of course can not run races with itself.

- Next state decoder must be glitch free / Hazard free (with the consensus terms included).

SR-latch circuit groupings are contiguous in the Karnaugh map, there are no more consensus terms that need to be included.

The SR-latch is thus an "goof-proof" design. Larger asynchronous sequential circuits are significantly more complex to construct!


## State Diagram as hypercubes

The state diagram is placed on a hypercube with Gray-coded corners.

With two state variables, it becomes a square.


## State Diagram as hypercubes

With three state variables, it becomes a cube


## State Diagram as hypercubes

With three state variables, it becomes a cube
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## State Diagram as hypercubes

With three state variables, it becomes a cube


## (Four variables)


(Compare with the Karnaugh map)
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## Ex 11.4



Analyze the following circuit. Draw a State Diagram.
Consider the circuit as an asynchronous sequential circuit which clock pulse input is one of the asynchronous inputs. What is the function of the circuit?

### 11.4 Positive edge and negative edge



- At a positive edge $\uparrow \mathbf{C}$ changes from 0 to 1 and when $\mathbf{C = 1}$ the MUX connects the upper flip-flop $q 0$ to the output.
- At a negative edge $\downarrow \mathbf{C}$ changes from 1 to 0 and when $\mathbf{C =}=0$ the MUX connects the lower flip-flop q1 to the output.

The result is a D-flip-flop that reacts on both edges of the clock.
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## DETFF-flip-flop



Double Edge Trigered Flip Flop (DETFF) has advantages in speed and power consumption. It can in principle provide twice as fast sequential circuits!
(Introduction of DETFF-flip-flops would require rethinking and redesigning of the other logic).

In order to benefit from the advantages of DETFF-flip-flop it must be designed as a separate component - ie as an asynchronous sequential circuit.

## Ex 11.5 DETFF $\sqrt{100}$

Construct an asynchronous state machine that functions as a dubble edge triggered D flip-flop (DETFF), the flip-flop will change value at both the positive and the negative edge of the clock.
a) Derive the FSM.
b) Construct the flow table and minimize it.
c) Assign states, transfer to Karnaugh maps and derive the Boolean expressions.
d) Draw the schematic for the circuit.

### 11.5 Possible in/out combinations
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DETFF
Characteristic table

| $C D$ | $Q^{+}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0-$ | Q |
| $1-$ | Q |
| $\uparrow 0$ | 0 |
| $\uparrow 1$ | 1 |
| $\downarrow 0$ | 0 |
| $\downarrow 1$ | 1 |

### 11.5 Possible in/out combinations

There are four input combinations (CD) and two output combinations (Q). A total of 8 possible states (CD Q).


DETFF
Characteristic table

| $C D$ | $Q^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0-$ | $Q$ |
| $1-$ | $Q$ |
| $\uparrow 0$ | 0 |
| $\uparrow 1$ | 1 |
| $\downarrow 0$ | 0 |
| $\downarrow 1$ | 1 |

### 11.5 Possible in/out combinations

There are four input combinations (CD) and two output combinations (Q). A total of 8 possible states (CD Q).

A new next state we get by changing either C or D . When $C$ is changed, we get a positive edge ( $\uparrow$ ) or negative edge ( $\downarrow$ ). For both edges comes that $D$ are copied to $\mathrm{Q}^{+}$. (according to the characteristic table)

| Present state |  | Next state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name: | $(\mathrm{CD} Q)$ | $(\mathrm{CD} \text { Q })^{+}$ |
| A | 000 |  |
| B | 001 |  |
| C | 010 |  |
| D | 011 |  |
| E | 100 |  |
| F | 101 |  |
| G | 110 |  |
| H | 111 |  |



DETFF
Characteristic table

| $C D$ | $Q^{+}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0-$ | $Q$ |
| $1-$ | $Q$ |
| $\uparrow 0$ | 0 |
| $\uparrow 1$ | 1 |
| $\downarrow 0$ | 0 |
| $\downarrow 1$ | 1 |

### 11.5 Possible in/out combinations

There are four input combinations (CD) and two output combinations (Q). A total of 8 possible states (CD Q).

A new next state we get by changing either C or D . When $C$ is changed, we get a positive edge ( $\uparrow$ ) or negative edge ( $\downarrow$ ). For both edges comes that D are copied to $\mathrm{Q}^{+}$. (according to the characteristic table)

| Present state |  | Next state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name: | (CD Q) | (CD Q) ${ }^{+}$ |
| A | 000 | 个 $\begin{array}{r}010 \mathrm{C} \\ 100 \mathrm{E}\end{array}$ |
| B | 001 | 011 D $\uparrow 100 \mathrm{E}$ |
| C | 010 | 000 A $\uparrow 111 \mathrm{H}$ |
| D | 011 | $\uparrow \begin{gathered}001 \mathrm{~B} \\ \\ 111 \mathrm{H}\end{gathered}$ |
| E | 100 | $\begin{array}{r} \downarrow 000 \mathrm{~A} \\ \\ 110 \mathrm{G} \end{array}$ |
| F | 101 | $\begin{array}{r} \downarrow 000 \mathrm{~A} \\ 111 \mathrm{H} \end{array}$ |
| $G$ | 110 | $\begin{aligned} & \downarrow 011 \mathrm{D} \\ & 100 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ |
| H | 111 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \\ \hline 101 \mathrm{D} \\ 101 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |



DETFF
Characteristic table

| $C D$ | $Q^{+}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0-$ | $Q$ |
| $1-$ | $Q$ |
| $\uparrow 0$ | 0 |
| $\uparrow 1$ | 1 |
| $\downarrow 0$ | 0 |
| $\downarrow 1$ | 1 |

### 11.5 Flow table

| Present <br> state | Next state <br> CD |  |  |  | Output <br> Q |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OO | 01 | 11 | 10 |  |  |
| A | A | C | - | E | 0 |  |
| B | B | D | - | E | 1 |  |
| C | A | C | H | - | 0 |  |
| D | B | D | H | - | 1 |  |
| E | A | - | G | C | 0 |  |
| F | A | - | H | (F | 1 |  |
| G | - | D | G | E | 0 |  |
| H | - | D | (H) | F | 1 |  |


| Present state |  | Next state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name: | (CD Q) | (CD Q) ${ }^{+}$ |
| A | 000 | 010 C $\uparrow 100 \mathrm{E}$ |
| B | 001 | 011 D 100 E |
| C | 010 | ¢ $\uparrow$ $\uparrow 111 \mathrm{H}$ |
| D | 011 | $\uparrow{ }^{0} 011 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| E | 100 | $\begin{gathered} \downarrow 000 \mathrm{~A} \\ 110 \mathrm{G} \end{gathered}$ |
| F | 101 | $\begin{array}{r} \downarrow 000 \mathrm{~A} \\ 111 \mathrm{H} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| G | 110 | $\downarrow \begin{aligned} & \downarrow 011 \mathrm{D} \\ & 100 \mathrm{E}\end{aligned}$ |
| H | 111 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \downarrow 011 \mathrm{D} \\ \\ \hline 101 \mathrm{~F} \end{array}$ |

Stable states are marked by the ring. Make sure that each column "CD" contains at least one stable state, otherwise you get an "oscillating" network for that input signal. Don't-care "-" is introduced where the input "CD" contains more than change in one input variable from the steady state for the line.

### 11.5 State minimization

$A$ and $B$ are not equivalent if ...


Equivalence means that the states should be stable for the same input signals, and to have their "do not care" for the same inputs - not to lose the flexibility for the continued minimization.

Kompatibility will be different for Moore or Mealy. For Moorecompatible machines it applies that the outputs must be equal, and the outputs of the follower states (all, if several) must also be equal. Otherwise, the two conditions are not compatible!

## stateninininininn

We start with a block of all state $P_{1}=(A B C D E F G H)$
Thera are no Equvivalent states, we then look at Kompatibility

| Present state | Next state CD= |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Output } \\ & \text { Q } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | (A) | C | - | E | 0 |
| B | (B) | D | - | E | 1 |
| C | A | (C) | H | - | 0 |
| D | B | (D) | H | - | 1 |
| E | A | - | G | (E) | 0 |
| F | A | - | H | (F) | 1 |
| G | - | D | (G) | E | 0 |
| H | - | D | (H) | F | 1 |

The states are first divided in two blocks by output value. ACEG has output 0, BDFH has output 1.
$P_{2}=[A C E G][B D F H]$
$A$ and $C$ has same follower state (as don't-care can be utilized as H or E )

$$
A C-E
$$

ACH-
$P_{3}=[(A C) \ldots][B D F H]$
(For compatibility it's enough that output from the follower states are same, it need not be exactly the same state as it happens to be in this example.)

## State minimization

$E$ and $G$ has same follower state (as don't-care can be utilized as A or D)

A-GE
-DGE

| Present <br> state | Next state |  |  | Output |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | CD $=$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |  |
| A | $($ A | C | - | E | 0 |
| B | B | D | - | E | 1 |
| C | A | C | H | - | 0 |
| D | B | D | H | - | 1 |
| E | A | - | G | C | 0 |
| F | A | - | H | (F | 1 |
| G | - | D | G | E | 0 |
| H | - | D | (H) | F | 1 |

$P_{3}=[(A C)(E G)][B D F H]$
$B$ and $D$ has same follower state (as don't-care can be utilized as H or E ) BD-E BDH-
$P_{3}=[(A C)(E G)][(B D) . .$.
F and H has same follower state
(as don't-care can be utilized as A or D)
A-HF
-DHF
$P_{3}=(\mathrm{AC})(\mathrm{EG})(\mathrm{BD})(\mathrm{FH})$ Four states are enough!

### 11.5 New Flow table

The new states are designated: $\mathrm{AC} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}, \mathrm{EG} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{BD} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}, \mathrm{FH} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$.

| Nuvarande <br> tillstånd | Nästa tillstånd |  |  |  | Output |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |  |  |
| A | $(\bar{A})$ | C | - | E | 0 |  |
| B | B | D | - | E | 1 |  |
| C | A | (C) | H | - | 0 |  |
| D | B | (D) | H | - | 1 |  |
| E | A | - | G | (C) | 0 |  |
| F | A | - | H | ( | 1 |  |
| G | - | D | (G) | E | 0 |  |
| H | - | D | $(\mathbb{H})$ | F | 1 |  |

State diagram

| Present <br> state | Next state <br> CD <br> sta |  |  | Output <br> Q |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O0 | 01 | 11 | 10 |  |  |
| A | A | A | F | E | 0 |
| E | B | B | F | E | 1 |
| F | A | B | C | (E) | 0 |
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### 11.5 State encoding



The states $\left(q_{1} q_{0}\right)$, are placed in the corners of a Gray-coded square.
 Eg. $A=00, F=01, B=11, E=10$.

### 11.5 State encoding



The states $\left(q_{1} q_{0}\right)$, are placed in the corners of a Gray-coded square.
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Although all "rotations" and "reflections" of the code is valid state encodings.


### 11.5 State encoding



The states $\left(q_{1} q_{0}\right)$, are placed in the corners of a Gray-coded square.
 Eg. $A=00, F=01, B=11, E=10$.

Although all "rotations" and "reflections" of the code is valid state encodings.


| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 01 | 00 |
| 01 | 11 | 10 | 00 | 00 | 10 | 11 | 01 |
| 11 | 10 | 00 | 01 | 01 | 00 | 10 | 11 |
| 10 | 00 | 01 | 11 | 11 | 01 | 00 | 10 |

### 11.5 State encoding



The states $\left(q_{1} q_{0}\right)$, are placed in the corners of a Gray-coded square.
 Eg. $A=00, F=01, B=11, E=10$.

Although all "rotations" and "reflections" of the code is valid state encodings.


| A | F | B | $\mathbf{E}$ | A | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ |  | This will be our |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 01 | 00 |  | This |
| 01 | 11 | 10 | 00 | 00 | 10 | 11 | 01 |  | shosen arbitrarily |
| 11 | 10 | 00 | 01 | 01 | 00 | 10 | 11 |  | state encoding. |
| 10 | 00 | 01 | 11 | 11 | 01 | 00 | 10 |  |  |

### 11.5 State encoding



The states $\left(q_{1} q_{0}\right)$, are placed in the corners of a Gray-coded square.
 Eg. $A=00, F=01, B=11, E=10$.

Although all "rotations" and "reflections" of the code is valid state encodings.


| A | F | B | E | A | F | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 01 | 00 |
| 01 | 11 | 10 | 00 | 00 | 10 | 11 | 01 |
| 11 | 10 | 00 | 01 | 01 | 00 | 10 | 11 |
| 10 | 00 | 01 | 11 |  | 11 | 01 | 00 |

This will be our chosen arbitrarily state encoding.

Is this the best state encoding? Extensive search (= try all) is often the only solution for those who want to know!

# 11.5 Exitation table 

| Present <br> state | Next state <br> CD |  |  |  | Output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |  |
| A | A | A | F | E | 0 |
| B | (B) | (B) | F | E | 1 |
| E | A | B | E | (E) | 0 |
| F | A | B | ( | ( | 1 |



| Present state $q_{1} q_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Next state } \\ & \text { CD= } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Output <br> Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | (10) | (10) | 11 | 00 | 0 |
| 01 | 01 | 01 | 11 | 00 | 1 |
| 00 | 10 | 01 | 00 | 00 | 0 |
| 11 | 10 | 01 | (11) | (11) | 1 |
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### 11.5 Karnaugh maps

| Present state $q_{1} q_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Next state } \\ & \text { CD= } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Output } \\ & \text { Q } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | (10) | 10 | 11 | 00 | 0 |
| 01 | (01) | 01 | 11 | 00 | 1 |
| 00 | 10 | 01 | 00 | 00 | 0 |
| 11 | 10 | 01 | (11) | (11) | 1 |

On K-map-form:

| Present state | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Next state } \\ & \text { CD= } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Output } \\ & \mathrm{Q} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{0}$ | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |  |
| 00 | 10 | 01 | 00 | 00 | 0 |
| 01 | 01 | 01 | 11 | 00 | 1 |
| 11 | 10 | 01 | 11 | 11 | 1 |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 00 | 0 |



| $C D$ | $q_{0}^{+}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q_{1} 00$ |  | 11 | 10 |
| ${ }^{9} 00_{0}{ }^{\circ}$ | 1 | ${ }^{3} 0$ | ${ }^{2} 0$ |
| ${ }_{1} 1$ | 1 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | \% |
| ${ }^{1} 0$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $0_{0}^{18} 0$ | ${ }^{9} 0$ | 1 | 0 |

$Q=q_{0}$
$q_{1}^{+}=C D q_{1}+C D q_{0}+\bar{C} \bar{D} q_{1}+\bar{C} \bar{D} \bar{q}_{0}+$

$$
+q_{1} \bar{q}_{0} \bar{C}+q_{1} \bar{q}_{0} D+q_{1} q_{0} C+q_{1} q_{0} \bar{D}
$$

$$
q_{0}^{+}=q_{0} D+\bar{q}_{1} q_{0} \bar{C}+\bar{q}_{1} C D+q_{1} C D+q_{1} q_{0} C
$$
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## Ex 11.6 Analyze



Analyze the above circuit.
a) Derive the Boolean expressions for the state variables $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{0}$.
b) Derive the exitations table. Which function (dashed) are in the inner loops.
c) Derive the flow table, assign symbolic states and draw FSM.
d) Which flip-flop does this correspond to?

### 11.6 Boolean equations



$$
Y_{0}^{+}=Y_{0} Y_{1}+Y_{0} \bar{C}+Y_{1} C
$$



$$
Y_{1}^{+}=Y_{1}\left(Y_{0} \oplus I\right)+\left(Y_{0} \oplus I\right) \bar{C}+Y_{1} C
$$

### 11.6 Glitch-free MUX?
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### 11.6 Two Glitch-free MUXes

The network may be seen as composed of two glitch-free MUXes. This fact can be used if one wants to reason about the circuit's function.


### 11.6 Boolean equations

We use the Boolean functions to derive the function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & =Y_{0} \\
Y_{1}^{+} & =Y_{1}\left(Y_{0} \oplus I\right)+\left(Y_{0} \oplus I\right) \bar{C}+Y_{1} C= \\
& =Y_{1}\left(Y_{0} \bar{I}+\bar{Y}_{0} I\right)+\left(Y_{0} \bar{I}+\bar{Y}_{0} I\right) \bar{C}+Y_{1} C= \\
& =Y_{1} Y_{0} \bar{I}+Y_{1} \bar{Y}_{0} I+Y_{0} \bar{I} \bar{C}+\bar{Y}_{0} I \bar{C}+Y_{1} C \\
Y_{0}^{+} & =Y_{0} Y_{1}+Y_{0} \bar{C}+Y_{1} C
\end{aligned}
$$

### 11.6 Excitation table

$$
Y_{1}^{+}=Y_{1} Y_{0} \bar{I}+Y_{1} \bar{Y}_{0} I+Y_{0} \bar{I} \bar{C}+\bar{Y}_{0} I \bar{C}+Y_{1} C \quad Y_{0}^{+}=Y_{0} Y_{1}+Y_{0} \bar{C}+Y_{1} C
$$




Red marked groupings are circuit Hazard Cover
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### 11.6 Exitation table



Impossible states are denoted by strikethrough. These are states that, as to be reached, would require two changes of input the signals from the stable state of the current row.

| Present state | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Next state } \\ & \text { IC= } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Output } \\ & \text { Q } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y_{1} Y_{0}$ | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |  |
| 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 10 | 0 |
| 01 | 11 | 00 | 00 | 04 | 1 |
| 11 | 11 | (11) | (11) | 01 | 1 |
| 10 | 00 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 0 |

IC $10 \rightarrow 01$ is an
$Q=Y_{0} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { impossible } \\ & \text { simultaneous }\end{aligned}$ change of the input signals.

### 11.6 Flow table

| Present <br> state <br> $\mathrm{Y}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{0}$ | Next state <br> IC $=$ <br> 00 |  |  | 01 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | Output |  |  |  |  |
| Q |  |  |  |  |  |


| Present <br> state <br> $Y_{1} Y_{0}$ | Next state <br> IC= <br> 00 |  |  | 01 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 10 | Qutput |  |  |  |  |
| Q |  |  |  |  |  |

State diagram:


The impossible states (strikethrough text) could be used as don't-care if one at another time should change the state assignement.
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If $I=\mathbf{1}$ and $C$ are clockpulses $1,0,1,0 \ldots$ the sequence is:
IC: 101110 11, D-C-B-A-D-C-B-A Q: 0-1-1-0-0-1-1-0
The flip-flop toggles on positive edge ( $\uparrow$ ) from C.
If $I=0$ it becomes instead "the same output"
$\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ and $\mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{Q}=0$
$C \rightarrow C$ and $B \rightarrow C \quad Q=1$
The flip-flop changes state at the transitions from $\mathrm{C}=0$ to $\mathrm{C}=$ 1 , so it is positive edgetriggered ( $\uparrow$ ) T-flip-flop ( $I=T$ ).

