### Principles of Wireless Sensor Networks

https://www.kth.se/social/course/EL2745/

### Lecture 8 Static Distributed Estimation

#### Piergiuseppe Di Marco

Ericsson Research e-mail:pidm@kth.se http://pidm.droppages.com/



Royal Institute of Technology Stockholm, Sweden

#### September 16, 2015

### Course content

- Part 1
  - ► Lec 1: Introduction to WSNs
  - ► Lec 2: Introduction to Programming WSNs
- Part 2
  - Lec 3: Wireless Channel
  - Lec 4: Physical Layer
  - Lec 5: Medium Access Control Layer
  - Lec 6: Routing
- Part 3
  - Lec 7: Distributed Detection
  - Lec 8: Static Distributed Estimation
  - Lec 9: Dynamic Distributed Estimation
  - Lec 10: Positioning and Localization
  - Lec 11: Time Synchronization
- Part 4
  - Lec 12: Wireless Sensor Network Control Systems 1
  - Lec 13: Wireless Sensor Network Control Systems 2

### Today's lecture



- Today we study how to perform static estimation from noisy measurements of the sensors
- "Static" means that the estimation is of a variable (constant or random) that does not evolve over time

# Motivation for Static Estimation

- Plays a central role in many WSNs applications
- Accurately predicts the parameters of a phenomenon
- Communication: position, navigation
- Monitoring: pollution, earthquake magnitude
- Surveillance: crowd density, intruders, attitude

# Today's learning goals

- What are the fundamental aspects of distributed estimation?
- Estimation over a Star and a General topology?
- What is the LMMSE estimator?
- How to make a static sensor fusion?

### Outline

- Star and general topologies
- Estimation from one sensor
- Distributed estimation in a star topology
- Distributed estimation in a general topology

# Outline

#### • Star and general topology

- Estimation from one sensor
  - Model of the measurements for one sensor
  - Model of the estimator
  - Mean Squared Error (MSE)
  - LMMSE estimate
- Distributed estimation from many sensors
  - Star topology
  - General topology

### Topology 1: Star topology



Figure: Network with a star topology: Solid lines indicating that there is message communication between nodes. The fusion center receives information from all other nodes.

- The phenomenon is observed by a number of sensors organized as a star
- Multiple sensors make measurements
- Measurements are transmitted to a fusion center

## Topology 2: General topology



Figure: Network with an Arbitrary Topology: Solid lines indicating that there is communication between nodes. There is no node acting as fusion center.

- The phenomenon is observed by a number of sensors organized arbitrarily
- Multiple sensors make measurements
- Measurements are not transmitted to a fusion center
  - ▶ Indeed, no fusion center. Every node is a sort of local fusion center

# Outline

#### • Star and General topology

#### Estimation from one sensor

- Model of the measurements for one sensor
- Model of the estimator
- Mean Squared Error (MSE)
- LMMSE estimate

#### Distributed estimation from many sensors

- Star topology
- General topology

### Model of the measurements for one sensor

- Let's consider only one sensor
- Linear measurements (i.e., measurements and the parameters are related linearly) with noise or measurement errors

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v} \tag{1}$$

- y: sensor measurement(s)
- H: a known matrix
- x: what we want to estimate
- v: unknown noise or measurement error

### Model of the measurements for one sensor

- Let's consider only one sensor
- Linear measurements (i.e., measurements and the parameters are related linearly) with noise or measurement errors

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v} \tag{1}$$

- y: sensor measurement(s)
- H: a known matrix
- x: what we want to estimate
- v: unknown noise or measurement error
- Goal: How to estimate x out of the measurement y?

### Model of the estimator

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Linear}}$  estimator, i.e., the estimator and the measurements are assumed to be linearly related

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{y}$$

- y: sensor measurement(s)
- $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{L})$ : estimator of  $\mathbf{x}$ , dependent on  $\mathbf{L}$
- We need to compute a good estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\cdot) \Rightarrow$  what matrix  $\mathbf{L}$  to be used?
- Performance criterion for computing L?

# Mean Squared Error (MSE)

A good estimate  $\hat{x}(\cdot)$  is found by considering the MSE, which is given by the trace of error covariance matrix C of the estimator error

• In particular, for fixed L, MSE is defined as

$$MSE(\mathbf{L}) = \mathsf{Tr} \{ \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{L}) \}$$
  
=  $\mathsf{Tr} \{ \mathsf{E} \{ (\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{L}) - \mathbf{x}) (\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{L}) - \mathbf{x})^\mathsf{T} \} \}$   
=  $\sum_{i=1}^N \mathsf{E}(\hat{x}_i(\mathbf{L}) - x_i)^2$ 

• Let  $\mathbf{L}^{\star} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{L}} \mathrm{MSE}(\mathbf{L})$ 

• Then,  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{L}^* \mathbf{y}$  is called the linear minimum MSE (LMMSE) estimate of  $\mathbf{x}$ 

### Proposition 1

Consider a random variable x being observed by a sensor that generates measurements of the form y = Hx + v. Then LMMSE estimator of x given y is

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \underbrace{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{H}^{T}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}}_{\mathbf{L}^{\star}}\mathbf{y} ,$$

where

$$\mathbf{P} = \left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}\mathbf{H}\right)^{-1} ,$$

 $\mathbf{R_x}$  is the covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{x},$  and  $\mathbf{R_v}$  is the noise covariance matrix.

### Proposition 1

Consider a random variable x being observed by a sensor that generates measurements of the form y = Hx + v. Then LMMSE estimator of x given y is

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \underbrace{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{H}^{T}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}}_{\mathbf{L}^{\star}}\mathbf{y} ,$$

where

$$\mathbf{P} = \left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}\mathbf{H}\right)^{-1} ,$$

 $\mathbf{R_x}$  is the covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{x},$  and  $\mathbf{R_v}$  is the noise covariance matrix.

• We need to show that  $\mathbf{L}^{\star} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}$ 

Advanced topic, not requested for the exam

Advanced topic, not requested for the exam

Proof:

Preliminaries:

(1) 
$$\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \succeq \mathbf{B}$$
 when  $\mathbf{A} \succeq \mathbf{0}$   
(2)  $\mathbf{A} \succeq \mathbf{B} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Tr}(\mathbf{A}) \ge \mathsf{Tr}(\mathbf{B})$   
(3)  $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{BC})^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{L}) &= \mathsf{E}\left\{ \left( \hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{L}) - \mathbf{x} \right) \left( \hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{L}) - \mathbf{x} \right)^\mathsf{T} \right\} = \mathsf{E}\left\{ \left( \mathbf{L}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \right) \left( \mathbf{L}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \right)^\mathsf{T} \right\} \\ &= \mathsf{E}\left\{ \left( \mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \left( \mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I} \right)^\mathsf{T} + \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{L}^\mathsf{T} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{v}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{L}^\mathsf{T} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{H}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{L}^\mathsf{T} \right\} \\ &= \left( \mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \left( \mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I} \right)^\mathsf{T} + \mathbf{L} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{L}^\mathsf{T} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{H} \underbrace{\mathsf{E}\{\mathbf{x} \mathbf{v}^\mathsf{T}\}}_{0} \mathbf{L}^\mathsf{T} - \mathbf{L} \underbrace{\mathsf{E}\{\mathbf{v} \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T}\}}_{0} \mathbf{H}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{L}^\mathsf{T} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{L}) &= \mathsf{E}\left\{ \left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{L}) - \mathbf{x}\right) \left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{L}) - \mathbf{x}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \right\} = \mathsf{E}\left\{ \left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\right) \left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \right\} \\ &= \mathsf{E}\left\{ \left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}\right) \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} \right\} \\ &= \left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}\right) \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{L} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{H} \underbrace{\mathsf{E}}_{\{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\}}_{0} \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L} \underbrace{\mathsf{E}}_{\{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\}}_{0} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} \right\} \\ &= \mathbf{L} \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{H} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}\right)}_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ &= \mathbf{L} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{S}^{-1}) \mathbf{H} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{S}^{-1}) \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ &+ \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} = \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{L}) &= \mathsf{E}\left\{\left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{L}) - \mathbf{x}\right)\left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{L}) - \mathbf{x}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} = \mathsf{E}\left\{\left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\right)\left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} \\ &= \mathsf{E}\left\{\left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}\right)\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} \\ &= \left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}\right)\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{H}\underbrace{\mathsf{E}}\left\{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\}}_{0}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L}\underbrace{\mathsf{E}}\left\{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\}}_{0}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ &= \mathbf{L}\underbrace{\left(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}\right)}_{\mathsf{S}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ &= \mathbf{L}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^{-1})\mathbf{H}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^{-1})\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ &+ \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} = \\ &= \left(\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\right)\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{L}) &= \mathsf{E}\left\{\left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{L}) - \mathbf{x}\right)\left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{L}) - \mathbf{x}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} = \mathsf{E}\left\{\left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\right)\left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} \\ &= \mathsf{E}\left\{\left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}\right)\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\} \\ &= \left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}\right)\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{H}\underbrace{\mathsf{E}}\left\{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\}}_{0}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L}\underbrace{\mathsf{E}}\left\{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\right\}}_{0}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ &= \mathbf{L}\underbrace{\left(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}\right)}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ &= \mathbf{L}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^{-1})\mathbf{H}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^{-1})\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ &+ \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} = \\ &= \left(\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\right)\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ &\succeq \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \end{split}$$

The lower bound is achieved when

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{L} &= \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}^{-1} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \mathbf{H} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H} \left( \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \right) \\ &= \left( \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H} \left( \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \right) \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \\ &= \left( \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} = \left( \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \Box \end{split}$$

#### Recap:

Consider the linear system of measurements given in (1), i.e., y = Hx + v. Let  $\hat{x}$  denote the LMMSE estimator of x given y. Then we have

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}\mathbf{y} , \qquad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H}\right)^{-1} = \mathsf{error} \ \, \mathsf{covariance} \ \, \mathsf{of} \ \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}.$$

#### Recap:

Consider the linear system of measurements given in (1), i.e., y = Hx + v. Let  $\hat{x}$  denote the LMMSE estimator of x given y. Then we have

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}\mathbf{y} , \qquad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H}\right)^{-1} = \mathsf{error} \ \, \mathsf{covariance} \ \, \mathsf{of} \ \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}.$$

- Relation (2) has been derived for the case of one sensor
- In the case of multiple sensors, relation (2) suggests the possibility of combining local estimates directly

#### Recap:

Consider the linear system of measurements given in (1), i.e., y = Hx + v. Let  $\hat{x}$  denote the LMMSE estimator of x given y. Then we have

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}\mathbf{y} , \qquad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H}\right)^{-1} = \mathsf{error} \ \, \mathsf{covariance} \ \, \mathsf{of} \ \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}.$$

- Relation (2) has been derived for the case of one sensor
- In the case of multiple sensors, relation (2) suggests the possibility of combining local estimates directly
- Several measurements from one sensor can be seen in case of multiple sensors

#### Recap:

Consider the linear system of measurements given in (1), i.e., y = Hx + v. Let  $\hat{x}$  denote the LMMSE estimator of x given y. Then we have

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}\mathbf{y} , \qquad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H}\right)^{-1} = \mathsf{error} \ \, \mathsf{covariance} \ \, \mathsf{of} \ \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}.$$

- Relation (2) has been derived for the case of one sensor
- In the case of multiple sensors, relation (2) suggests the possibility of combining local estimates directly
- Several measurements from one sensor can be seen in case of multiple sensors
- No need to send all the measurements to a central data processing

#### Recap:

Consider the linear system of measurements given in (1), i.e., y = Hx + v. Let  $\hat{x}$  denote the LMMSE estimator of x given y. Then we have

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}\mathbf{y} , \qquad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H}\right)^{-1} = \mathsf{error} \ \, \mathsf{covariance} \ \, \mathsf{of} \ \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}.$$

- Relation (2) has been derived for the case of one sensor
- In the case of multiple sensors, relation (2) suggests the possibility of combining local estimates directly
- Several measurements from one sensor can be seen in case of multiple sensors
- No need to send all the measurements to a central data processing
- This is called static sensor fusion

### Some considerations on ${\bf x}$

• So far,  ${\bf x}$  is considered a "zero-mean" random variable with known variance  ${\bf R}_{{\bf x}}.$ 

### Some considerations on ${\bf x}$

- $\bullet\,$  So far, x is considered a "zero-mean" random variable with known variance  $R_x.$
- When no prior information is available, then  $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{P} = \left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H}\right)^{-1}$ , thus giving

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \left(\mathbf{H}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{R}_\mathbf{v}^{-1} \mathbf{H}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{H}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{R}_\mathbf{v}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

which is also denoted as the weighted least square estimate.

### Some considerations on ${\bf x}$

- So far,  ${\bf x}$  is considered a "zero-mean" random variable with known variance  ${\bf R}_{{\bf x}}.$
- When no prior information is available, then  $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{P} = \left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H}\right)^{-1}$ , thus giving

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{H}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

which is also denoted as the weighted least square estimate.

• If the information included has a **non zero mean**, the estimate need to be corrected in the following way

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - H\bar{\mathbf{x}})$$

• We assume  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = 0$  for readability reasons.

# Outline

- Star and General topology
- Estimation from one sensor
  - Model of the measurements for one sensor
  - Model of the estimator
  - Mean Squared Error (MSE)
  - LMMSE estimate
- Distributed estimation from many sensors
  - Star topology
  - General topology



Figure: Illustration of how the process in static sensor fusion is preformed.

• Now we move to a case of many sensors in a star topology

### Proposition 2

Consider a random variable  ${\bf x}$  being observed by K sensors that generate measurements of the form

$$\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}_k, \ k = 1, \dots, K$$

where the  $\mathbf{v}_k$  and  $\mathbf{v}_j$   $(j \neq k)$  are uncorrelated.

### **Proposition 2**

Consider a random variable  $\mathbf{x}$  being observed by K sensors that generate measurements of the form

$$\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}_k, \ k = 1, \dots, K$$

where the  $\mathbf{v}_k$  and  $\mathbf{v}_j$   $(j \neq k)$  are uncorrelated. Let  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  denote the LMMSE estimator of  $\mathbf{x}$  given  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_K)$ , as obtained at the fusion center. Then

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{P}_{k}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k} ,$$

where  $\mathbf{P}$  is the estimate error covariance corresponding to  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\mathbf{P}_k$  is the error covariance corresponding to  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k$ .

### **Proposition 2**

Consider a random variable  $\mathbf{x}$  being observed by K sensors that generate measurements of the form

$$\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

where the  $\mathbf{v}_k$  and  $\mathbf{v}_j$   $(j \neq k)$  are uncorrelated. Let  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  denote the LMMSE estimator of  $\mathbf{x}$  given  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_K)$ , as obtained at the fusion center. Then

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{P}_{k}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k} ,$$

where  $\mathbf{P}$  is the estimate error covariance corresponding to  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\mathbf{P}_k$  is the error covariance corresponding to  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k$ . Furthermore,

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1} = -(K-1)\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{P}_{k}^{-1} ,$$

 $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}$  is the covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_K)$ 

### Proof of proposition 2

Proof: Note that overall linear system is given by

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_K \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{y}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{H}_K \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{x} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_K \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{v}}$$

Now use Proposition 1  

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}}\cdots\mathbf{H}_{K}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}_{2}}^{-1} & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}_{K}}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_{1}\\ \vdots\\ \mathbf{y}_{K} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}_{k}}^{-1}\mathbf{y}_{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{P}_{k}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k}$$

# Proof of proposition 2

Moreover, from Proposition 1

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{P}^{-1} &= \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \underbrace{\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1} \mathbf{H}}_{k} \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \underbrace{\mathbf{H}_{k}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}_{k}}^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{k}}_{k} \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \mathbf{P}_{k}^{-1} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \right) = -(K-1) \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{P}_{k}^{-1} , \end{split}$$

### Static sensor fusion from multiple sensors

- By Proposition 2, complexity of the fusion center goes down considerably
- Some computational load is delegated to the distributed sensors
- Each estimate is weighted by the inverse of the error covariance matrix
- The higher the confidence we have in a particular sensor, the higher the trust we place in its measurement

## Static sensor fusion from multiple sensors

- By Proposition 2, complexity of the fusion center goes down considerably
- Some computational load is delegated to the distributed sensors
- Each estimate is weighted by the inverse of the error covariance matrix
- The higher the confidence we have in a particular sensor, the higher the trust we place in its measurement

#### • Two step procedure

- All the nodes transmit local estimates to a central node (called fusion center)
- Central node calculates and transmits the weighted sum of the local estimates back
- Final outcome is a weighted average

# Outline

- Star and General topology
- Estimation from one sensor
  - Model of the measurements for one sensor
  - Model of the estimator
  - Mean Squared Error (MSE)
  - LMMSE estimate
- Distributed estimation from many sensors
  - Star topology
  - General topology

# Network with arbitrary topology



Figure: Network with a Arbitrary Topology: Solid lines indicating that there is message communication between nodes. There is no node acting as fusion center.

# Network with arbitrary topology

- Generalize the static sensor fusion approach to an arbitrary graph
- This approaches are along the lines of average consensus algorithms
- No fusion center

#### Example scenario:

- K nodes measure a scalar value x, measurements are noisy
- Nodes are connected according to an arbitrary graph
- Each node wants to calculate the average of all the scalars

 $y_k = x + v_k$ ,  $k = 1, \ldots, K$ 

#### Example scenario:

- K nodes measure a scalar value x, measurements are noisy
- Nodes are connected according to an arbitrary graph
- Each node wants to calculate the average of all the scalars

 $y_k = x + v_k$ ,  $k = 1, \ldots, K$ 

**Remember:** Provided the noise components are iid Gaussian, then the maximum likelihood **(ML)** estimate  $\hat{x}$  of x is given by the average of all  $y_k$  values, i.e.,

$$\hat{x} = (1/K) \sum_{k=1}^{K} y_k = (1/K) \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y}$$

#### Example scenario:

- K nodes measure a scalar value x, measurements are noisy
- Nodes are connected according to an arbitrary graph
- Each node wants to calculate the average of all the scalars

 $y_k = x + v_k$ ,  $k = 1, \ldots, K$ 

**Remember:** Provided the noise components are iid Gaussian, then the maximum likelihood **(ML)** estimate  $\hat{x}$  of x is given by the average of all  $y_k$  values, i.e.,

$$\hat{x} = (1/K) \sum_{k=1}^{K} y_k = (1/K) \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y}$$

**Question:** How to obtain  $\hat{x}$  just by coordinating with **adjacent neighbors** (no central fusion center)?

#### One way:

- Iterative method, iterations  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- Each sensor k, during iteration 0, set  $x_{0,k} = y_k$
- Each sensor k implements the dynamical system

$$x_{n+1,k} = x_{n,k} + h \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} (x_{n,j} - x_{n,k}) ,$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_k$  is the adjacent sensors of sensor k

• Just local communications

#### One way:

- Iterative method, iterations  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- Each sensor k, during iteration 0, set  $x_{0,k} = y_k$
- Each sensor k implements the dynamical system

$$x_{n+1,k} = x_{n,k} + h \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} (x_{n,j} - x_{n,k}) ,$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_k$  is the adjacent sensors of sensor k

- Just local communications
- Compact form

$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = (\mathbf{I} - h\mathbf{L})\mathbf{x}_n , \ n = 0, 1, 2, \dots ,$$

where  ${\bf L}$  is the Graph Laplacian matrix

If the underlying graph is connected (i.e., there is at least one path among all pairs of nodes), then the **Graph Laplacian matrix**  $\mathbf{L}$  has the following properties:

- L is symmetric positive-definite matrix.
- Each row sum of L is 0.
- Each column sum of  $\mathbf{L}$  is 0.

Then, given small h, it can be proved that the iteration always converges to the equilibrium  $(\mathbf{x}_{n+1})_k = \hat{x}$  for all  $k = 1, \ldots, K$ .

If the underlying graph is connected (i.e., there is at least one path among all pairs of nodes), then the **Graph Laplacian matrix**  $\mathbf{L}$  has the following properties:

- L is symmetric positive-definite matrix.
- Each row sum of L is 0.
- Each column sum of L is 0.

Then, given small h, it can be proved that the iteration always converges to the equilibrium  $(\mathbf{x}_{n+1})_k = \hat{x}$  for all  $k = 1, \ldots, K$ .

The idea extends in a straightforward manner to more general models such as

$$x_{n+1,k} = x_{n,k} + h \mathbf{W}_k^{-1} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} (x_{n,j} - x_{n,k})$$

# Summary

- Star and General topology
- Estimation from one sensor
  - Model of the measurements for one sensor
  - Model of the estimator
  - Mean Squared Error (MSE)
  - LMMSE estimate
- Distributed estimation from many sensors
  - Star topology
  - General topology

### Next lecture

• Dynamic distributed estimation