MEETING 6 - MODULAR ARITHMETIC AND INTRODUCTORY CRYPTOGRAPHY

In this meeting we go through the foundations of modular arithmetic. Before the meeting it is assumed that you have watched the videos and worked through Kapitel 5: Modulär aritmetik och egenskaper hos heltalen. We will do some Peer Instruction on these themes and follow up some of the questions that came in.

DEEPENING THE UNDERSTANDING OF THE CONGRUENCE CLASS AS A MATHEMATICAL OBJECT

We have introduced the *congruence class* (in Swedish "restklass") as all the numbers congruent to a particular modulus. In IDK Kapitel 5 a congruence class was denoted in bold style, like this: 0,1, etc. Now we will however use another notation, the bar notation so instead of 0,1, etc. we write $\overline{0}, \overline{1}$, etc. Examples:

- 1. All even numbers: $\{\ldots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, \ldots\} = \{2k; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Written as $\overline{0}$, when the modulus 2 is implicitly determined. (Even numbers are the numbers divisible by 2, that is gives remainder 0 when divided by 2.)
- 2. All odd numbers: $\{\ldots, -3, -1, 1, 3, 5, 7, \ldots\} = \{2k + 1; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Written as $\overline{1}$, when the modulus 2 is implicitely determined. (Odd numbers are the numbers that give remainder 1 when divided by 2.)
- 3. All numbers that give remainder 3 when we divide by 5: $\{\ldots, -12, -7, -2, 3, 8, 13, 18, \ldots\} = \{5k+3; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Written as $\overline{3}$, when the modulus 2 is implicitly determined.

We consider again the multiplication tables for \mathbb{Z}_7 and \mathbb{Z}_{10} :

\mathbb{Z}_7	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	$\overline{0}$	1	$\overline{2}$	3	4	$\overline{5}$	6
2	0	2	4	6	1	3	5
3	0	3	6	2	5	1	4
4	0	4	1	5	2	6	3
5	0	5	3	1	6	4	2
6	0	6	5	4	3	$\overline{2}$	1

\mathbb{Z}_{10}	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
2	0	2	4	6	8	0	$\overline{2}$	4	6	8
3	0	3	6	9	2	5	8	1	4	7
4	0	4	8	$\overline{2}$	6	0	4	8	$\overline{2}$	6
$\overline{5}$	$\overline{0}$	5	$\overline{0}$	5	$\overline{0}$	5	$\overline{0}$	5	$\overline{0}$	5
6	0	6	$\overline{2}$	8	4	0	6	$\overline{2}$	8	4
$\overline{7}$	$\overline{0}$	7	4	1	8	5	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{7}$	6	3
8	0	8	6	4	$\overline{2}$	0	8	6	4	2
9	$\overline{0}$	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	$\overline{2}$	1

In kapitel 5 we saw how we can introduce operations on the congruence classes. Now we can compare that to the earlier situation when we introduced mathematical objects such as numbers, vector or matrices - let us call them mathematical objects, or just *objects*. We learned to calculate with them and calculate means adding, subtracting, multiplying etc. Can we divide also? Let us understand just what division means, we formulate this by clarifying what subtraction means:

To subtract an object a from an object b we want to find the object x that fulfills this:

$$a + x = b$$

we denote the object x by b-a and this is something we have done since we started school, it is not a difficult thing to understand when we think or ordinary numbers but it works also with vectors and matrices. But let us now apply the same reasoning to multiplication and division as a reverse to multiplication:

The question of how to *divide* an object b by an object a arises from the question of asking which object x do I need to use if I want to multiply an object a and obtain b? That is, which x fulfills this:

 $a\cdot x=b$

we denote the object x by $\frac{b}{a}$ and we know from working with numbers or matrices that not all objects are allowed, when it comes to numbers we cannot have a = 0. And when it comes to matrices, as you probably remember from the theory of matrices, the equation

$$A \cdot X = B$$

could not be solved for all B if the matrix A was not invertible - but when the inverse existed we could write the solution as $X = A^{-1} \cdot B$. The matrix A^{-1} was called the *inverse* of A. A longer name for it is the *multiplicative inverse* of A. It is this route we will take when introducing division with congruences. Take a look at the multiplication tables above, can we always solve the equation

$$a \cdot x = b$$

when a, x and b are congruence classes?

As it turns out, for some *a* this equation have no solutions when we are working in \mathbb{Z}_{10} , for example if $b = \overline{4}$ and $a = \overline{5}$ we cannot have any *x* so that $a \cdot x = b$. It would mean $\overline{5} \cdot x = \overline{4} \Leftrightarrow 5 \cdot x \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$ which is impossible. Why is this impossible?

The interesting feature to notice in the tables above is that the equation

 $a \cdot x = b$

has a solution for every b if we have all the possible b's occurring in the row that is determined by a. For example, if we choose $a = \overline{3}$ we see that the row determined by this a is $\boxed{3}$ $\boxed{0}$ $\boxed{3}$ $\boxed{6}$ $\boxed{9}$ $\boxed{2}$ $\boxed{5}$ $\boxed{8}$ $\boxed{1}$ $\boxed{4}$ $\boxed{7}$ and each possible b here occurs so that for example, if we wanted to have an x such that $\overline{3} \cdot x = \overline{8}$, we check on top of the table in the column where we find $\overline{8}$, at the top we find $\overline{6}$ which means that $x = \overline{6}$ is the solution to the equation.

Inspecting the multiplication table for \mathbb{Z}_{10} , we can draw the conclusion that

$$a \cdot x = b$$

has a solution for all b if and only if $a = \overline{1}$ or $a = \overline{3}$ or $a = \overline{7}$ or $a = \overline{9}$. So what is the pattern here? What do we have to demand on a for the equation to have a solution?

We may get a clue from this by studying the multiplication table for \mathbb{Z}_7 , here *every* row contains every element in \mathbb{Z}_7 , we conclude that the equation $a \cdot x = b$ has a solution in \mathbb{Z}_7 for every a (except of course $a = \overline{0}$). For example, working in \mathbb{Z}_7 , what is the solution to $\overline{4} \cdot x = \overline{6}$? Well, look on the row determined by $a = \overline{4}$ and try to find $\overline{6}$, it is found in the column that has $\overline{5}$ at the top - so that $x = \overline{5}$ is the solution. This can be done with any a and any b in \mathbb{Z}_7 ... But NOT in \mathbb{Z}_{10} .

If we ponder a while about the mechanism of this we end up realizing that the central thing here is that a must be relatively prime to the modulus (that is n) for a solution to always exist. Let us make a statement of a theorem about this:

Theorem: Let n be a positive modulus. (That is just a positive integer greater than 1.) Then the equation

 $a \cdot x = b$

in \mathbb{Z}_n has a solution in x for every possible choice of b if a and n are relatively prime.

Proof: (In this proof we shall alternate between using the same symbol for integers and congruence classes - that we can do so is granted by an earlier result.) Let a, n be relatively prime. Then there exists integers s, t such that sa + tn = 1. (They can be found with the use of the Euclidean algorithm.) This means that, in \mathbb{Z}_n we have $sa = \overline{1}$. For any equality in \mathbb{Z}_n we can then write

$$ap = q \Rightarrow sap = sq \Rightarrow p = sq \Rightarrow ap = asq \Rightarrow ap = q$$

so that we can always write

$$ap = q \Leftrightarrow p = sq.$$

(With numbers and matrices, s would be the multiplicative inverse, for numbers $s = \frac{1}{a}$ and for matrices $s = A^{-1}$.) Now use this on the equation, we then get

$$a \cdot x = b \Leftrightarrow sax = sb \Leftrightarrow x = sb$$

but this then means that we have found the x and the equation has a solution. The proof is complete.

Corollary: If the modulus *n* is a prime number, then every equation of the form ax = b in \mathbb{Z}_n has a solution for every $b \neq \overline{0}$.

Proof: This is just the same theorem stated when the modulus is a prime, the condition a, n relatively prime is then automatically met.

We actually call the element s above a multiplicative inverse and we introduce it with a definition:

Definition: Let n be a positive modulus. Then, for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, if $sa = \overline{1}$, we call s a multiplicative inverse of a.

We can only have one multiplicative inverse, if we would have two multiplicative inverses, s_1, s_2 of the same a, then

$$s_1 = s_1 \cdot 1 = s_1 \cdot (a \cdot s_2) = (s_1 \cdot a) \cdot s_2 = 1 \cdot s_2 = s_2.$$

So there is at most one multiplicative inverse.

Let us study an exam question from the 9 of April 2015:

Use the Euclidean algorithm to find the multiplicative inverse of 23 (mod 17) and use it to find all integers x that satisfy $23x \equiv 337 \pmod{17}$.

Solution: The Euclidean algorithm consists of repeated application of The Division Algorithm to 23 and 17, so we get

$$23 = 1 \cdot 17 + 6$$
, $17 = 2 \cdot 6 + 5$, $6 = 1 \cdot 5 + 1$

so that $1 = 6 - 1 \cdot 5 = 6 - 1 \cdot (17 - 2 \cdot 6) = 3 \cdot 6 - 17 = 3 \cdot (23 - 17) - 17 = 3 \cdot 23 - 4 \cdot 17$. This means that the multiplicative inverse of 23 (mod 17) is 3. Hence we can multiply both sides of the congruence by 3. However, let us first reduce 337 to what it is congruent with (mod 17), we easily see that $337 \equiv 14 \pmod{17}$ so that we have the following equivalent congruences

$$23x \equiv 337 \pmod{17} \Leftrightarrow 23x \equiv 14 \pmod{17} \Leftrightarrow 3 \cdot 23x \equiv 3 \cdot 14 \pmod{17}$$

which can be written $1 \cdot x \equiv 3 \cdot 14 \pmod{17} \Leftrightarrow x \equiv 8 \pmod{17}$.

So we can always use the Euclidean Algorithm to find the multiplicative inverse. Let us consider another example.

Example: Find the multiplicative inverse of $\overline{17}$ in \mathbb{Z}_{19} .

Solution: We seek integers s, t such that $s \cdot 17 + t \cdot 19 = 1$. These integers exist for sure since 17, 19 are relatively prime. (Why?) The Euclidean algorithm gives

$$19 = 1 \cdot 17 + 2 \qquad 17 = 8 \cdot 2 + 1 \qquad 1 = 19 - 8 \cdot (19 - 17) \Leftrightarrow 1 = 9 \cdot 17 - 8 \cdot 19$$

This means that s = 9 and t = -8 will satisfy $s \cdot 17 + t \cdot 19 = 1$ which means that the multiplicative inverse of $\overline{17}$ in \mathbb{Z}_{19} will be $\overline{9}$.

you can yourself choose any two prime numbers, p, q, and practice to find the multiplicative inverse of \overline{p} in \mathbb{Z}_q , do this for as many prime numbers as you want. (Maybe it is good to do p, q = 11, 13, p, q = 11, 17, p, q = 23, 29, p, q = 23, 37.)

INVESTIGATING MULTIPLICATIVE INVERSES IN \mathbb{Z}_p

We can also formulate the results in the previous section like this:

Proposition: Let *n* be a positive modulus. If $ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$ and gcd(c, n) = 1, then $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

or, if we use the congruence class notation, we express ourselves like this:

Proposition: Let *n* be a positive modulos. If $\overline{a} \cdot \overline{c} = \overline{b} \cdot \overline{c}$ and gcd(c, n) = 1, then $\overline{a} = \overline{b}$.

Now if we are using a prime modulus then each nonzero element will be relatively prime to the modulus, so then we can express ourselves like this:

Proposition: Let p be a prime number. If $ac \equiv bc \pmod{p}$ and $c \neq 0$, then $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$.

or, if we use the congruence class notation, we express ourselves like this:

Proposition: Let p be a prime number. If $\overline{a} \cdot \overline{c} = \overline{b} \cdot \overline{c}$ and $\overline{c} \neq \overline{0}$, then $\overline{a} = \overline{b}$.

This last formulation is particularly interesting, it means that \mathbb{Z}_p works exactly as the ordinary real numbers: we can add, subtract, multiply and divide with nonzero numbers!

Let us explore the properties of \mathbb{Z}_p , where p is a prime number. Then, each nonzero element has a multiplicative inverse. That is for example in \mathbb{Z}_7 , the elements $\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}, \overline{5}, \overline{6}$ all have multiplicative inverses, if these elements are denoted x, we can always find an s such that $sx = \overline{1}$. (What about $\overline{7}$?) We can look in the multiplication table above and find these inverses, consulting the table we get $\overline{1} \cdot \overline{1} = \overline{1}$ so that the multiplicative inverse of $\overline{1}$ is $\overline{1}$ itself (of course!), further $\overline{2} \cdot \overline{4} = \overline{1}$ so that the multiplicative inverse of $\overline{2}$ is $\overline{4}$.

We can make a table:

\mathbb{Z}_7	Inverses
$\overline{0}$	Missing
1	1
2	4
$\overline{3}$	$\overline{5}$
4	$\overline{2}$
5	3
6	6

Consider this question: do any of the elements in \mathbb{Z}_p have themselves as multiplicative inverse? That is, which elements x in \mathbb{Z}_p satisfy $x \cdot x = \overline{1}$? If we write $x \cdot x$ as x^2 we are asking which x in \mathbb{Z}_p satisfy the equation

 $x^2 = \overline{1}.$

Now since p is a prime number, then \mathbb{Z}_p works as the real numbers so we can solve this equation as usual:

$$x^2 = \overline{1} \Leftrightarrow x^2 - \overline{1} = \overline{0} \Leftrightarrow (x + \overline{1}) \cdot (x - \overline{1}) = \overline{0} \Leftrightarrow x + \overline{1} = \overline{0} \lor x - \overline{1} = \overline{0} \Leftrightarrow x = \overline{-1} = \overline{p - 1} \lor x = \overline{1}$$

so the only two possibilities for an element in \mathbb{Z}_p to be its own multiplicative inverse is if it is $\overline{1}$ or $\overline{p-1}$. This means that for every other element in \mathbb{Z}_p , that is the p-3 elements $\overline{2}, \overline{3}, \ldots, \overline{p-2}$, they must all have a multiplicative inverse that is not themselves. And indeed, we can have a look at the table above, the only elements in \mathbb{Z}_7 which are their own inverses are $\overline{1}$ and $\overline{6} = \overline{7-1}$. What is the use of this? Well, we are studying congruences and they are very useful and it is useful to learn about their properties. We will use this fact in proving Wilson's Theorem in the next meeting, for now we look an immensely important application of all this: Cryptography.

CRYPTOLOGY BY USE OF THE RSA-ALGORITHM

RSA is an acronym, it stands for Rivest, Shamir, and Adleman who were the three originators of the application of number theory that is used for cryptology. The meaning of the word "cryptography" means "hidden writing" and the objective of a cryptographic application is to ensure that two parties, usually called Alice and Bob can communicate privately over a channel which a third party can eavesdrop on, that is hear what is being communicated. The eavesdropper, commonly called Eve, is able to hear all signals that are being sent over the channel, but the cryptographic application needs to ensure that, to Eve, the signals that are sent has no meaning, that they are indistinguishable from just white noise, that is random signals.

One very interesting feature of modern cryptology is that a cryptographic application gets safer if it is publicly known how the encryption procedure is performed. Not in exact, concrete detail of course, there must exist a secret element called a *key*. How can this be? How can an algorithm be safer if it is known how it works? There is a very simple answer to that and this is that if it known how an algorithm works and it works over many years, then it is not likely that it will contain any loopholes, someone ought to have found out. By now the RSA algorithm has been employed successfully over many years and it is very unlikely that it will contain a vulnerability.

Let us introduce some terminology.

Definition: A crypto-scenario is a situation where we have three parties Alice, Bob, and Eve. The two parties Alice and Bob wishes to exchange information over a channel whose signals Eve also can hear. A message T that everyone can understand is called a *cleartext*, a message C that only Alice and Bob can understand is called a *cryptotext*. An *encryption* is an **one-to-one** function e defined on the set of all possible cleartexts, called L (for Language). A decryption is a function d defined on e(L) such that $\forall T \in L : d(e(T)) = T$.

Example: Let us take an extremely simple example called the Ceasar crypto. (This was how secrets of state were handled in the ancient Roman Empire.) Choose an offset, for example 3. Then the encryption function d is formed by replacing A with D, B with E and so on, replacing each letter in the alphabet with the one 3 steps ahead. When we get to the three last letters, X, Y, Z we start over and replace X with A, Y with B, and Z with C. The watchful people understands that this is offsetting letters with an index of 3 and then doing it modulo the alphabet. When we encrypt a cleartext T we do it letter by letter. Thus if T = "SECRET" we get the cryptotext C = "VHFUHX". We can also write this in terms of functions C = "VHFUHX" = e("SECRET"). The decryption function applied to this cryptotext of course recovers the original cleartext so that we have: d("VHFUHX") = "SECRET".

The central mathematical object in cryptography is the encryption function - and of course the decryption function that is used together with the encryption function. Basically we use number theory to create an encryption function which we can describe using integers. If we apply this to the function described above, numbering the letters in the alphabet from 0 to 28, the encryption function would simply be e(t) = t + 3(mod29) and the decryption function would just be d(c) = c - 3(mod29). It is clear that d(e(t)) = t for all integers t. When we move to more advanced cryptography we will create a much larger interval, something like $0, 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1$ where N is a very large number. Our encryption function will then be a one-to-one function onto this interval and therefor it will actually be a bijection. Similarly the decryption function will also be a bijection of this large interval onto itself. We will formulate this in a couple of examples, we will start small and go larger.

Example: A very small instance of the RSA-crypto. We deal with the integers $L = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ and set

$$e(t) = t^7 (mod10)$$
 and $d(c) = c^7 (mod10)$.

Then we have two different so-called keys for encryption (7) and decryption (3). The decryption key is held secret and the encryption key can be made public together with the modulus, 10. We can study the values of the two functions e and d in a table:

 $e(t) \quad d(e(t))$ t 0 e(0) = 0 d(0) = 0 (Computation: $e(0) = 0^7 (mod10) = 0$.) d(1) = 1 (Computation: $e(1) = 1^7 (mod10) = 1$.) 1 e(1) = 1d(8) = 2 (Computation: $e(2) = 2^7 (mod_{10}) = 128 (mod_{10}) = 8.$) $\mathbf{2}$ e(2) = 83 e(3) = 7d(7) = 3 (Computation: $e(3) = 3^7 (mod10) = 2187 (mod10) = 7.$) 4 e(4) = 4d(4) = 4 $\mathbf{5}$ e(5) = 5 d(5) = 56 e(6) = 6 d(6) = 67e(7) = 3d(3) = 78 e(8) = 2d(8) = 89 e(9) = 9d(9) = 9

This illustrates a very small (and practically useless) instance of the RSA-algorithm that can be used to send one of the numbers 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 in a secret way. The procedure is as follows: Alice publishes to everyone the numbers (10, 7), this is the so-called *public key*. Anyone can encrypt numbers with these two numbers by forming the encryption function e. We study the example when Bob wants to secretely send the number 8 to Alice. Alice has beforehand published the public key (10, 7) and now Bob uses this to encrypt the cleartext 8, he forms e(8) by computing $8^7(mod10)$ which is 2. So the cryptotext is 2 which is sent over the channel that Eve also listens to. It arrives at Alice and Eve, who listens, but only Alice knows the secret decryption key which is 3. So Alice forms d(2) by computing $2^3(mod10)$ which is 8 and thereby understands that Bob has sent her an 8. Eve on the other hand does not know which of the numbers is the decryption key and so cannot know that it was 8 that was sent.

In practice of course the numbers are much larger than this. We will study a slightly larger example.

Example: Set

 $e = 17, \qquad d = 2753, \qquad N = 3233.$

Then this defines an instance of the RSA-crypto by the encryption and decryption functions

$$e(t) = t^{17}(mod3233)$$
 and $d(c) = c^{2753}(mod3233)$.

This instance of RSA can encrypt the numbers 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., 3233 - 1 = 3232, that is we can use it to shuffle 3233 integers (of course 0 and 1 are shuffled so we forget about them). For example to encrypt 123 we form

$$e(123) = 123^{17} (mod3233) =$$

337587917446653715596592958817679803 (mod 3233) = 855.

And to decrypt 855 we compute

 $d(855) = 855^{2753} (mod3233) =$

 $50432888958416068734422899127394466631453878360035509315554967564501\\ 05562861208255997874424542811005438349865428933638493024645144150785\\ 17209179665478263530709963803538732650089668607477182974582295034295\\ 04079035818459409563779385865989368838083602840132509768620766977396\\ 67533250542826093475735137988063256482639334453092594385562429233017\\ 51977190016924916912809150596019178760171349725439279215696701789902\\ 13430714646897127961027718137839458696772898693423652403116932170892\\ 69617643726521315665833158712459759803042503144006837883246101784830\\ 71758547454725206968892599589254436670143220546954317400228550092386\\ 36942444855973333063051607385302863219302913503745471946757776713579$

The number 123 is thus encrypted to 855 and then decrypted back to 123 with the two functions e, d. The computations involve a number containing about 4200 digits, which is a measure of the forces that lie inside the RSA algorithm - it is *only* the correct key that kan undo that great jumble of digits.

We will now describe the general way to create an instance of the RSA-algorithm, we will use the two examples above to make the description concrete.

- 1. Choose two prime numbers, for example p = 2 and q = 5. Form the product pq = 10, this is N which is the modulus. It is also the number of different symbols that can be encrypted and decrypted by this instance. (In our example only 10.)
- 2. Choose the encryption number e such that e and (p-1)(q-1) are relatively prime. In our example (p-1)(q-1) = (2-1)(5-1) = 4. We can therefore choose e = 7 because 7 and 4 are relatively prime. (It is a coincidence that e is also a prime number.)
- 3. The decryption number d is chosen so that $d \equiv 1(mod(p-1)(q-1))$. In our case above we choose d so that $d \cdot 7 \equiv 1(mod4) \Leftrightarrow 7d \equiv 1(mod4)$. This number can be found by applying the Euclidean Algorithm on 7 and 4, after some computations we arrive at d = 3. (Indeed, d = 7, or any other d which would have $d \equiv 3(mod4)$.)

The three steps above establishes the values of e, d, N and thereby the encryption and decryption functions e and d are determined. It is a bit unfortunate that we have the same name for the constants e, d as the functions e, d, but it is not so confusing when we work more with concrete examples.

Example: We will look at the same procedure being carried out for the second example.

- 1. The two prime numbers here were p = 61 and q = 53. The product is $N = pq = 61 \cdot 53 = 3233$.
- 2. The encryption number e > 1 is chosen so that gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = 1. In our example $(p-1)(q-1) = 60 \cdot 52$. The number e = 17 is a prime number that does not divide either 60 or 52 and hence the numbers e = 17 and $60 \cdot 52$ are relatively prime. So e = 17 is chosen.
- 3. Now it is time to choose the decryption number. The rule is to choose it so that $de \equiv 1(mod(p-1)(q-1))$. in our case we have $(p-1)(q-1) = 60 \cdot 52 = 3120$. This means that we need to find d such that $17 \cdot d \equiv 1(mod3120)$. We employ the Euclidean Algorithm to find d. We have:

$$3120 = 17 \cdot 183 + 9, \quad 17 = 1 \cdot 9 + 8, \quad 9 = 1 \cdot 8 + 1$$

So that

$$1 = 9 - 1 \cdot 8 = 9 - 1 \cdot (17 - 9) = 2 \cdot 9 - 17 = 2 \cdot (3120 - 17 \cdot 183) - 17 = 2 \cdot 3120 - 367 \cdot 1732 + 10033 +$$

This means that $17 \cdot (-367) \equiv 1 \pmod{3120}$. Since we want a positive number for d we have to add multiples of the modulus 3120 to -367 until we get a positive number, one 3120 is enough and we find that -367 + 3120 = 2753 which is our decryption number. (Observe that in theory -367 would also work, however, in the world of congruence classes the number -367 is the same as the number 2753.)

In conclusion: the public key is (e, pq) = (17, 3233) and the private decryption key is d = 2753. **Exercise:** Verify that the numbers p = 83, q = 89, N = 7387, e = 17, and d = 849 fulfills the demands of the RSA-crypto.

Exercise: Choose p = 5, q = 7, then N = 35. Find e, d and create an instance of the RSA-crypto based on these numbers.