KTH ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

Distributed Hash Tables

Vladimir Vlassov



Distributed Hash Tables

- Large scale data bases
  - hundreds of servers
- High churn rate
  - servers will come and go
- Benefits
  - fault tolerant
  - high performance
  - self administrating

A key-value store

Associative array to store key-value pairs, a data structure known as a hash table (array of buckets) that maps keys to values.

Operations:

`put (key, object)` – store a given object with a given key

`object: = get (key)` – read a object given key.

Design issues:

- Identify : how to uniquely identify an object
- Store: how to distribute objects among servers
- Route: how to find an object

Unique identifiers

We need ***unique identifiers*** to identify objects, i.e. to find a bucket to get/put an object with a given key

```
identifier = f(key, size_of_hash_table)
```

How to select identifiers:

- use a key (a name)
- a cryptographic hash of the key
- a cryptographic hash of the object

why hash?

Key distribution – direct map

Direct map of keys to identifiers (buckets) gives a non-uniform (uneven) distribution of keys among buckets



Key distribution – hashing keys

A cryptographic hash function gives a uniform (even) distribution of the keys among buckets

```
hash = hashfunc(key)
identifier = hash % table_size
```



Add a server

at three-o'clock-in-the-morning do:





Random distribution

Random distribution of key ranges among servers

How to find a server responsible for a given key?



Circular domain



- ID domain: 0,1,2,..., size-1
- clockwise step along the ring
  `i = (i + 1)% size`
- ***responsibility***: from your predecessor to your number
- when inserted: take over responsibility

Circular domain



- *responsibility*: from your predecessor to your number
- when inserted: take over responsibility

Circular domain



- *responsibility*: from your predecessor to your number
- when inserted: take over responsibility

Circular domain



- *responsibility*: from your predecessor to your number
- when inserted: take over responsibility
- talk to the node in front of you

Double linked circle



- predecessor
- successor
- how do we insert a new node
- concurrently

Stabilization



Ask your successor: ***Who is your predecessor?***
Correct a wrong link if any

s: - Who is your predecessor?
q: - It's p at 70.
s: - Why don't you point to me!

Stabilization



Ask your successor: ***Who is your predecessor?***
Correct a wrong link if any

s: - Who is your predecessor?
q: - It's p at 70.
s: - Why don't you point to me!
p: - Who is your predecessor?
q: - It's s at 97.
p: - Hmmm, that's a better successor.

Stabilization



Let's play a game!

Ask your successor: ***Who is your predecessor?***
Correct a wrong link if any

s: - Who is your predecessor?
q: - It's p at 70.
s: - Why don't you point to me!
p: - Who is your predecessor?
q: - It's s at 97.
p: - Hmmm, that's a better successor.
p: - Who is your predecessor?
s: - I don't have one.
p: - Why don't you point to me!

Stabilization

Stabilization is run periodically: allow nodes to be inserted concurrently.

Inserted node will take over responsibility for part of a segment.

Crashing nodes



- monitor neighbors
- safety pointer

Crashing nodes



- monitor neighbors
- safety pointer
- detect crash

Crashing nodes



- monitor neighbors
- safety pointer
- detect crash
- update forward pointer

Crashing nodes



- monitor neighbors
- safety pointer
- detect crash
- update forward pointer
- update safety pointer

Crashing nodes



- monitor neighbors
- safety pointer
- detect crash
- update forward pointer
- update safety pointer
- stabilize

Russian roulette

How many safety pointers do we need?

Replication

Where should we store a replica of our data?



Routing overlay

- The problem of finding an object in our distributed table:
  - nodes can join and crash
  - trade-off between routing overhead and update overhead

In the worst case we can always forward a request to our successor.

Leaf set

Assume that each node holds a leaf set of its closest ($\pm l$) neighbors (a.k.a. a finder table).

We can jump l nodes in each routing step but we still have complexity of $O(n)$.

Leaf set is updated in $O(l)$.

The leaf set could be as small as only the immediate neighbors but is often chosen to be a handful.

Improvement



- we’re looking for the responsible node of an object
- each router hop brings us closer to the responsible node
- the *leaf set* gives us the final destination

Pastry

A routing table, each row represents one level of routing.

- 32 rows
- 16 entries per row
- any node found in 32 hops
- maximal number of nodes 16^{32} or 2^{128} (more than enough)
- search is $O(lg(n))$ where n is number of nodes

The price of fast routing



- be lazy
- detect failed nodes when used
- route in alternative direction
- ask neighbors of alternative node

Network aware routing



- when inserting new node
- attach to the network-wise closest node
- adopt the routing entries on the way down

Overlay networks

Structured

- a well-defined structure
- takes time to add or delete nodes
- takes time to add objects
- easy to find objects

Unstructured

- a random structure
- easy to add or delete nodes
- easy to add objects
- takes time to find objects

DHT usage

Large scale key-value store.

- fault tolerant system in high churn rate environment
- high availability low maintenance

The Pirate Bay

- replaces the tracker by a DHT
- clients connects as part in the DHT
- DHT keeps track of peers that share content

Riak

- large scale key-value store
- inspired by Amazon Dynamo
- implemented in Erlang

Summary DHT

- why hashing?
- distribute storage in ring
- replication
- routing