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## This lecture

- BV pp. 584-640


## Asynchronous Sequential Machines

- An asynchronous sequential machine is a sequential machine without flip-flops
- Asynchronous sequential machines are constructed by analyzing combinational logic circuits with feedback
- Assumption: Only one signal in a circuit can change its value at any time


## Golden rule



## Asynchronous state machines

- Asynchronous state machines are used when it is necessary to keep the information about a state, but no clock is available
- All flip-flops and latches are asynchronous state machines
- Useful to synchronize events in situations where metastability is/can be a problem


## Asynchronous sequential circuit: SR-latch with NOR gates

- To analyze the behavior of an asynchronous circuit, we use ideal gates and summarize their delays to a single block with delay $\Delta$



## Analysis of a sequential asynchronous circuit

- By using a delay block, we can treat
$-y$ as the current state
- Y as the next state



## State table

- Thus, we can produce a state table where the next state Y depends on the inputs and the current state y


$$
Y=\overline{R+\overline{(S+y)}}
$$

## State table

From statefunction to truth table

| $y$ | $S$ | $R$ | $Y=\overline{R+(\overline{S+y})}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $0=\overline{0+(\overline{0+0})}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $0=\overline{1+(\overline{(0+0})}$ |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | $1=\overline{1+(\overline{1+0})}$ |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | $0=\overline{1+(\overline{1+0})}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | $1=\overline{0+(\overline{0+1})}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | $0=\overline{1+(\overline{0+1})}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $1=\overline{0+(\overline{1+1})}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $0=\overline{1+(\overline{1+1})}$ |



## Stable states

| Present <br> state <br> $y$ | Nextstate |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $S R=00$ | 01 | 10 | 11 |
|  | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |

- Since we do not have flip-flops, but only combinational circuits, a state change can cause additional state changes
- A state is
- stable if $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}+\Delta)$
- unstable if $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{t}) \neq \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}+\Delta)$



## Excitation table

- Stable states (next state = present state) are circled


| Present <br> state <br> $y$ | Nextstate |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $S R=00$ | 01 | 10 | 11 |
|  | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

## Terminology

- When dealing with asynchronous sequential circuits, a different terminology is used
- The state table called flow table
- The state-assigned state table is called excitation table


## Flow table (Moore)

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Present } \\ \text { state }\end{array}$ | Next state |  |  |  | Output |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $S R=$ | 00 | 01 | 10 |  |$)$ Q | A |
| :---: |
| A |
| B |



## Flow Table (Mealy)

| Present <br> state | Next state |  |  |  | Output, Q |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{SR}=00$ | 01 | 10 | 11 | 00 | 01 | 10 | 11 |  |
| A | A | A | B | A | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| B | B | A | B | A | 1 | - | 1 | - |  |



01/-
11/-
Do not care ('-') has been chosen for output decoder since output changes directly after the state transition (basic implementation)

## Asynchronous Moore compatible



- Asynchronous sequential circuits have similar structure as synchronous sequential circuits
- Instead of flip-flops one have a "delay block"



## Asynchronous Mealy compatible



- Asynchronous sequential circuits have similar structure as synchronous sequential circuits
- Instead of flip-flops one have a "delay block"


## Analysis of Asynchronous Circuits

- The analysis is done using the following steps:

1) Replace the feedbacks in the circuit with a delay element $\Delta$. The input of the delay element represents the next state Y while the output y represents the current state.
2) Find out the next-state and output expressions
3) Set up the corresponding excitation table
4) Create a flow table and replace the encoded states with symbolic states
5) Draw a state diagram if necessary

## D-latch state function



$$
Y=D \cdot C+y \cdot \bar{C}
$$

## Example Master-slave D flip-flop

- Master-slave D flip-flop is designed using two D-latches



## Excitation table

- From these equations, we can directly deduce excitation table

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{m}=C D+\bar{C} y_{m} \\
& Y_{s}=\bar{C} D+C y_{s} \\
& Q=y_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Excitation table

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Present } \\ & \text { state } \\ & y_{m} y_{s} \end{aligned}$ | Nextstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Output } \\ & \text { Q } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $C D=00001010$ |  |
|  | $Y_{m} Y_{s}$ |  |
| 00 | (00) (00) 10 | 0 |
| 01 | 00 00 (01) 11 | 1 |
| 10 | $\begin{array}{llll}11 & 11 & 00 & 10\end{array}$ | 0 |
| 11 | (11) (11) 01 (11) | 1 |

## Flow table

- We define four states S1, S2, S3, S4 and get the following flow table

| Presen state $y m y s$ | Nextstate | Output Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $C D=00 \begin{array}{llll}01 & 01 & 11\end{array}$ |  |
|  | $Y_{m} Y_{s}$ |  |
| 00 | (00) (00) (00) 10 | 0 |
| 01 | 00 00 (01) 11 | 1 |
| 10 | $11 \quad 11 \quad 00$ | 0 |
| 11 | (11) (11) 01 (11) | 1 |

Excitation table


Flow table

## Flow table

| Present <br> state | Nextstate |  |  |  | Output |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $C D=00$ | 01 | 10 | 11 |  |
| S1 | S1 | S1 | S1 | S 3 | 0 |
| S2 | S 1 | S 1 | S2 | S 4 | 1 |
| S3 | S 4 | S 4 | S 1 | $(53$ | 0 |
| S4 | S4 | S4 | S 2 | $(\mathrm{S4}$ | 1 |

- Remember: Only one input can be changed simultaneously
- Thus, some transitions never occur!


## Flow table (Impossible transitions)



- State S3
- The only stable state is S 3 with input combination 11
- Only one input can be changed => possible transitions are (11 => 01,11 => 10)
- These transitions originate in S3!
- The input combination 00 in S3 is not possible!
- The input combination 00 is set to don't care!


## Flow table (Impossible transitions)

| $\Omega \leftarrow \Omega$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present state | Nextstate | Output Q |
|  | $C D=00 \begin{array}{llll}01 & 10 & 11\end{array}$ |  |
| S1 | (S1) (S1) (S1) 33 | 0 |
| S2 | S 1 St (S2) S4 | 1 |
| S3 | - S4 S1 S3 | 0 |
| S4 | (54) S4 S2 (54 | 1 |

- State S2
- The only stable state is S 2 with input combination 10
- Only one entry can be changed => possible transitions are (10 => $11,10=>00$ )
- These transitions originate in S2!
- The input combination 01 in S 2 is not possible!
- The input combination 01 is set to don't care!


## State Diagram Master-slave D flip-flop



## Synthesis of asynchronous circuits

- The synthesis is carried out using the following steps:

1) Create a state diagram according to the functional description
2) Create a flow table and reduce the number of states if possible
3) Assign codes to the states and create excitations table
4) Determine expressions (transfer functions) for the next state and outputs
5) Construct a circuit that implements the above expressions

## Example: Serial Parity Generator Step 1: Create a state diagram

- Input x
- Outputz
- $z=1$ if the number of pulses applied to $x$ is odd
- $z=0$ if the number of pulses applied to $x$ is even



## Step 2: Flow table



## Step 3: Assign state codes

|  |  |  | Pres state$y_{2} y_{1}$ | Next State | z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pres state | Next State | z |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{x}=0 \quad \mathrm{x}=1$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{x}=0 \quad \mathrm{x}=1$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ |  |
| A | (A) ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 0 | 00 | (00) 01 | 0 |
| B | $C$ B | 1 | 01 | 10 (01) | 1 |
| C | (C) D | 1 | 10 | (10) 11 | 1 |
| D | A (D) | 0 | 11 | 00 (11) | 0 |

Flow table


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A:00, B:01, C:10, D: } 11 \text { - binary code? } \\
& \text { Which encoding is good? }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 3: Assign state codes Which encoding is good?

Assume $\rightarrow$ A:00, B:01, C:10, D:11

| Pres state | Next State | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{X}=0 \longleftarrow 1$ |  |
| $\mathrm{y}_{2} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ |  |
| 00 | (00) 01 | 0 |
| 01 | $10 \uparrow$ (01) | 1 |
| 10 | (10) 11 | 1 |
| 11 | 100 | 0 |

Bad encoding (HD=2!)

Suppose
$X=1 \quad Y_{2} Y_{1}=11$
Then
$X \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow Y_{2} Y_{1}=00$ ?
$11 \rightarrow 10$ !
$11 \rightarrow 01 \rightarrow 10$ ! ? $\rightarrow 00$

We will never reach 00 ?

## Step 3: Assign state codes Which encoding is good?

A:00, B:01, C:10, D:11

| Pres <br> state | Next State | z |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{x}=0$ |  | $\mathrm{x}=1$ |
| $\mathrm{y}_{2} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ |  |  |
| 00 | 00 | 01 | 0 |
| 01 | 10 | 01 | 1 |
| 10 | 10 | 11 | 1 |
| 11 | 00 | 11 | 0 |

Poor encoding (HD = 2)
If we are in 11 under input $w=1$ and input change to $w=0$, the circuit should change to 00

A:00, B:01, C:11, D:10

| Pres <br> state | Next State |  | z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{x}=0$ |  | $\mathrm{x}=1$ |
| $\mathrm{y}_{2} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ |  |  |
| 00 | 00 | 01 | 0 |
| 01 | 11 | 01 | 1 |
| 11 | 11 | 10 | 1 |
| 10 | 00 | 10 | 0 |

Good encoding (HD = 1)

## Step 4: Draw Karnaugh maps

| Pres <br> state | Next State |  | z |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{x}=0$ |  | $\mathrm{x}=1$ |
| $\mathrm{y}_{2} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  |  |  |



$$
Y_{2}=\bar{x} y_{1}+y_{2} y_{1}+x y_{2} \quad Y_{1}=x \bar{y}_{2}+\bar{y}_{2} y_{1}+\bar{x} y_{1}
$$



$$
\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{y}_{1}
$$

They red circles are needed to avoid hazards (see later Section)!

## What is a Hazard?

- Hazard is a term that means that there is a danger that the output value is not stable, but it can have glitches at certain input combinations
- Hazard occurs when paths from different inputs to the output have different lengths
- To avoid this, we must add implicants to cover the "dangerous" transitions


## Examples of hazard: MUX

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{2}=\bar{x} y_{1}+y_{2} y_{1}+x y_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



During the transition from the $\left(\mathrm{xy}_{2} \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)=(111)$ to (011), the output Q has a glitch, as the path from $x$ to $Q$ is longer through the upper AND gate than through the lower AND gate (racing).

MORE ABOUT hazard in the next lecture!

## Step 5: Complete circuit



## More on state encoding

- In asynchronous sequential circuits, it is impossible to guarantee that the two state variables change value simultaneously
- Thus, a transition $00=>11$ results in
- a transition $00=>01$ => ???
- a transition $00=>10$ => ???
- To ensure correct operation, all state transitions MUST have Hamming distance 1
- The Hamming distance is the number of bits in which two binary numbers differ
- Hamming distance between 00 and 11 is 2
- Hamming distance between 00 and 01 is 1


## State encoding

- Procedure to obtain good codes:

1) Draw the transition diagram along the edges of the hypercube defined by the codes
2) Remove any crossing lines by
a) swapping two adjacent nodes
b) exploiting available unused states
c) introducing more dimensions in the hypercube

## State encoding Example: Serial Parity Generator

| Pres <br> state | Next State |  | z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{x}=0$ |  | $\mathrm{x}=1$ |
| $\mathrm{y}_{2} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ |  |  |
| 00 | 00 | 01 | 0 |
| 01 | 10 | 01 | 1 |
| 10 | 10 | 11 | 1 |
| 11 | 00 | 11 | 0 |

A:00, B:01, C:10, D:11

$$
C=10 \quad D=11
$$



$$
A=00 \quad B=01
$$



Poor coding -
Hamming Distance $=2$ (Intersecting lines)

## State encoding Example: Serial Parity Generator

| Pres <br> state | Next State |  | z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{y}_{2} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}=0$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 00 | 00 | 01 | 0 |
| 01 | 11 | 01 | 1 |
| 11 | 11 | 10 | 1 |
| 10 | 00 | 10 | 0 |



A:00, B:01, C:11, D:10
Good coding Hamming Distance $=1$ (No intersecting lines)

## swapping two adjacent nodes

## State encoding Exploiting unused states

Flow table from Fig. 9.21a of BV textbook
Note: BV uses this binary code

| Present state | Nextstate |  |  |  | Output $g_{2} g_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $r_{2} r_{1}=00$ | 01 | 10 | 11 |  |
| A | (A) | B | C |  | 00 |
| B | A | (B) | C | (B) | 01 |
| C | A | B | (C) | (C) | 10 |




Poor coding

In the transition from $B$ to $C$ (or $C$ to $B$ ) has the Hamming distance 2 ! Danger to get stuck in an unspecified state (with code 11)!

## State encoding Exploiting aunused states

- Solution: Introduce a transition state that ensures that you do not end up in an unspecified state!


| Presen state | Nextstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Output } \\ & g_{2} g_{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $r 2 r 1=00$ |  |
| A | (A) $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}$ | 00 |
| B | $A$ (B) B C | 01 |
| C | A B (C) C | 10 |


|  | Presen state y2y1 | Nextstate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Output } \\ g_{2} g_{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $r 2 r 1=00$ |  |
|  |  | $Y_{2} Y_{1}$ |  |
| A | 00 | (00) $01-10$ | 00 |
| B | 01 | 00 (1) (01) 11 | 01 |
| D | 11 | - 01 - 10 | dd |
| C | 10 | 00 11 (10) | 10 |

## State encoding: Additional states (more dimensions)

- One can increase the number of dimensions in order to implement stable state transitions


If it is not possible to redraw a diagram for $\mathrm{HD}=1$, we can add more states by adding extra dimensions. We take the nearest largest
hypercube and draw the transitions through the available non steady states.

## State encoding: Additional states (more dimensions)

- It's easier to draw a "flat" 3D cube (perspective, is then from the front)



## State minimization

- Procedure for minimizing the number of states

1. Form equivalence classes
2. Minimize equivalence classes (state reduction)
3. Form state diagrams either for Mealy or Moore
4. Merge compatible states in classes. Minimize the number of classes simultaneously. Each state can only belong to one classes
5. Construct the reduced flow table by merging rows in the selected classes
6. Repeat steps $3-5$ to see if more minimizations can be done

## Example Candy Machine (BV page 610)

- Candy machine has two inputs:
- N: nickel (5 cents)
- D: dime (10 cents)
- A candy bar costs 10 cents
- The machine will not return any change if there is 15 cents in the candy machine ( $a$ candy bar returned)
- The output $z$ is active if there is enough money for a piece of candy


## State Diagram and Flow Chart



- You can't insert two coins at the same time!

| Pres state | Next State |  | z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X=00 0110 | 11 |  |
| A | (A) $B \quad C$ | - | 0 |
| B | $D$ (B) |  | 0 |
| C | A - C | - | 1 |
| D | (D) $\mathrm{E} F$ | - | 0 |
| E | $A$ (E) - | - | 1 |
| F | A - F |  | 1 |
|  | ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{DN}$ |  |  |

A flow table that contains only one stable state per row is called primitive flow table.

## State Diagram and Flow Chart



State Minimization means that two states may be equivalent, and if so, replaced by one state to simplify the state diagram, and network.
One can easily see that state C and $F$ could be replaced by one state, as a candy always be ejected after a Dime regardless of previous state.

## Step 1: Form and minimize equivalence classes

1. Forming equivalence classes. To be in the same class, the following should hold for states:

- Outputs must have the same value
- Stable states must be at the same positions
- Don't cares for next state must be in the same positions

2. Minimize equivalence classes (state-reduction)

## State reduction

- Outputs must have the same value

$$
P_{1}=(A B D)(C E F)
$$

- Stable states must be at the same positions

$$
P_{2}=(A D)(B)(C F)(E)
$$

- Don't cares for next state must be
Primitive flow table

| Pres <br> state |  | Next State |  |  | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X=00 | 01 | 10 | 11 |  |
| A | A | B | C | - | 0 |
| B | D | B | - | - | 0 |
| C | A | - | C | - | 1 |
| D | D | E | F | - | 0 |
| E | A | E | - | - | 1 |
| F | A | - | F | - | 1 | in the same positions

$$
P_{2}=(A D)(B)(C F)(E)
$$

## State reduction

- Successors must be in the same class

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~F}_{00} \rightarrow(\mathrm{AD}),(\mathrm{AD}) \\
& \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~F}_{01} \rightarrow-,- \\
& \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~F}_{10} \rightarrow(\mathrm{CF}),(\mathrm{CF}) \\
& \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~F}_{11} \rightarrow-,-
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{2}=(A D)(B)(C F)(E) \\
& P_{3}=(A)(D)(B)(C F)(E) \\
& P_{3}=P_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Primitive flow table

| Pres state | Next State | Q | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Pres } \\ & \text { state } \end{aligned}$ | Next State | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X=00 0011011 |  |  |  |  |
| A | (A) B C | 0 |  | X=00 $01 \begin{array}{llll}10 & 11\end{array}$ |  |
| B | D (B) - | 0 | A | (A) B C | 0 |
| C | A - (C) | 1 | B | D (B) - | 0 |
| D | (D) E F | 0 |  | A - (C) | 1 |
| E | A (E) - | 1 | D | (D) E C | 0 |
| F | A - (E) | 1 | E | A (E) - | 1 |

## Step 2: Merging states

3. Construct state diagram either for Mealy or Moore
4. Merge compatible states in groups. Minimize the number of groups simultaneously. Each state may belong to one group only.
5. Construct the reduced flow table by merging rows in the selected groups
6. Repeat steps $3-5$ to see if more minimizations can be done

## Merging states

- Two states are compatible and can be merged if the following applies

1. at least one of the following conditions apply to all input combinations

- both $S_{i}$ and $S_{j}$ have the same successor, or
- both $S_{i}$ and $S_{j}$ are stable, or
- the successor of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ or $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}$, or both, is unspecified

2. For a Moore machine, in addition the following should hold

- both $S_{i}$ and $S_{j}$ have the same output values whenever specified (not necessary for a Mealy machine)


## Merging states

Resulting flow table

| Pres <br> state | Next State |  |  | Q |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{X}=00$ | 01 | 10 |  |  |
| A | A | B | C | - | 0 |
| B | D | B | - | - | 0 |
| C | A | - | C | - | 1 |
| D | (D) | E | C | - | 0 |
| E | A | (E) | - | - | 1 |

Each row will be a point in a compatibility graph

- both $\mathrm{S}_{i}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ have the same successor, or
- both $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are stable, or
- the successor of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ or $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}$, or both, is unspecified Moreover, both $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}$ must have the same output whenever specified

Compatibility graph


## An illustrative example

Equivalence classes
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}=(\mathrm{AG})(\mathrm{BL})(\mathrm{C})(\mathrm{D})(\mathrm{E})(\mathrm{F})(\mathrm{HK})(\mathrm{J})$
$\mathrm{P}_{2}=(\mathrm{A})(\mathrm{G})(\mathrm{BL})(\mathrm{C})(\mathrm{D})(\mathrm{E})(\mathrm{F})(\mathrm{HK})(\mathrm{J})$
$\mathrm{P}_{3}=\mathrm{P}_{2}$

Reduced flow table

| Pres <br> state | Next State |  |  |  | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X=00 | 01 | 10 | 11 |  |
| A | A | F | C | - | 0 |
| B | A | B | - | H | 1 |
| C | G | - | C | D | 0 |
| D | - | F | - | D | 1 |
| E | G | - | E | D | 1 |
| F | - | F | - | H | 0 |
| G | G | B | J | - | 0 |
| H | - | B | E | H) | 1 |
| J | G | - | (J | - | 0 |

## An illustrative example (cont'd)

Reduced flow table

| Pres state | Next State | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{X}=00001010$ |  |
| A | (A) $F C$ | 0 |
| B | $A$ (B) -H | 1 |
| C | G - (C) D | 0 |
| D | - F-D | 1 |
| E | G - E D | 1 |
| F | -(F) - H | 0 |
| G | (G) $\mathrm{B} J=$ | 0 |
| H | - B (H) | 1 |
| J | G - J | 0 |



| Pres <br> state | Next State | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X=00 00110 |  |
| A | (A) (A) C B | 0 |
| B | A (B) D (B) | 1 |
| C | G - (C) D | 0 |
| D | G A (D) (D) | 1 |
| G | (G) B (G) - | 0 |

## An illustrative example (cont'd)

Reduced flow table

| Pres state | Next State | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllll}X=00 & 01 & 10 & 11\end{array}$ |  |
| A | (A) (A) C B | 0 |
| B | A (B) D (B) | 1 |
| C | G - © D | 0 |
| D | $G A(D)$ | 1 |
| G | (a) B © | 0 |

## Summary

- Asynchronous state machines
- Based on analysis of combinational circuits with feedback
- All flip-flops and latches are asynchronous state machines
- A similar theory as for synchronous state machines can be applied
- Only one input or state variable can be changed at a time!
- We must also take into account the problem with hazards
- Next lecture: BV pp. 640-648, 723-724

