Lecture 7 - More on Convolutional Networks

DD2424

April 20, 2017

More details on the Convolution layers: **Striding & Zero Padding**

Convolution Layer



Convolve the image, X, with the filter F.

- Slide filter over all spatial locations in image.
- At each location output 1 number:

  compute **dot product** *between* F *and a* $5 \times 5 \times 3$ *chunk of* X

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.

A closer look at the spatial dimensions

. . .

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.
- $\implies$ 5×5 output.

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** 2.

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** 2.

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** 2.

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** 2.

A closer look at the spatial dimensions

. . .

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** 2.
- $\implies$ 3×3 output.

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** 3.

A closer look at the spatial dimensions



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input (just display one plane).
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** 3.
- **Doesn't fit nicely**! Don't include last column and row.

Output volume dimension



- $w \times h \times D$ input.
- Have $f \times f \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** S.
- **Output dimension**: $w' \times h'$

$$w' = (w - f)/S + 1$$
$$h' = (h - f)/S + 1$$

Output volume dimension



- $w \times h \times D$ input.
- Have $f \times f \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** S.
- **Output dimension**: $w' \times h'$

$$w' = (w - f)/S + 1$$
$$h' = (h - f)/S + 1$$

- **Our example**:
  $w = h = 7, f = 3$

S=1 $\implies w' = (7-3)/1 + 1 = 5$
S=2 $\implies w' = (7-3)/2 + 1 = 3$
S=3 $\implies w' = (7-3)/3 + 1 = 2.33$

In practice: Common to zero pad the border



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input.
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** S.
- Pad input with 1 pixel border.
- **Output dimension**: ?
- Remember

$$w' = (w - f)/S + 1$$
$$h' = (h - f)/S + 1$$

In practice: Common to zero pad the border



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input.
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** S.
- Pad input with 1 pixel border.
- **Output dimension**: ?
- Remember

$$w' = (w - f)/S + 1$$
$$h' = (h - f)/S + 1$$

In practice: Common to zero pad the border



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input.
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** S.
- Pad input with 1 pixel border.
- **Output dimension**: 7×7

In practice: Common to zero pad the border



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input.
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** S.
- Pad input with 1 pixel border. $(P = 1)$
- **Output dimension**: 7×7
- In general

$$w' = (w + 2P - f)/S + 1$$
$$h' = (h + 2P - f)/S + 1$$

In practice: Common to zero pad the border



- $7 \times 7 \times D$ input.
- Have $3 \times 3 \times D$ filter.
- Apply with **stride** S.
- Pad input with 1 pixel border. $(P = 1)$
- **Output dimension**: 7×7
- In general, common to have convolutional layers with
  - stride $S = 1$,
  - filters of size $f \times f \times D$,
  - zero-padding $P = (f - 1)/2$

$\implies w' = w, h' = h$

Example

- **Input volume dimension**: $32 \times 32 \times 3$
- Hyper-parameters of Conv layer:
  - 10 filters of size $5 \times 5 \times 3$
  - Stride: $S = 1$
  - Pad: $P = 2$
- **Output volume dimension**: ?

Example of Dimension Counting

- **Input volume dimension**: $32 \times 32 \times 3$
- Hyper-parameters of Conv layer:
  - 10 filters of size $5 \times 5 \times 3$
  - Stride: $S = 1$
  - Pad: $P = 2$
- **Output volume dimension**: $w' \times h' \times 10$ where

$$w' = (w - 2P - f)/S + 1 = (32 - 2 * 2 - 5)/1 + 1 = 32$$
$$h' = (h - 2P - f)/S + 1 = (32 - 2 * 2 - 5)/1 + 1 = 32$$

Example of Dimension Counting

- **Input volume dimension**: $32 \times 32 \times 3$
- Hyper-parameters of Conv layer:
  - 10 filters of size $5 \times 5 \times 3$
  - Stride $S = 1$
  - Pad $P = 2$
- **# of parameters in this layer**: ?

Example of Dimension Counting

- **Input volume dimension**: $32 \times 32 \times 3$
- Hyper-parameters of Conv layer:
  - 10 filters of size $5 \times 5 \times 3$
  - Stride: $S = 1$
  - Pad: $P = 2$
- **# of parameters in this layer**: 760
  - Each filter has $5 \times 5 \times 3 + 1 = 76$ parameters.
  - There are 10 filters $\implies 76 * 10$ total parameters.

Summary of dimensions in Convolutional Layer

- **Input**:
  Volume, $X^{(i)} = \{X_1^{(i)}, \ldots, X_D^{(i)}\}$, of size $w \times h \times D$
- Requires 4 hyper-parameters:
  - Number of filters: n_F
  - Spatial size of filters: f
  - Stride: S
  - Amount of zero padding: P
- **Output**:
  Volume $S^{(i+1)} = \{S_1^{(i+1)}, \ldots, S_{n_f}^{(i+1)}\}$ size $w' \times h' \times n_F$ where
  - $w' = (w - f + 2P)/S + 1$
  - $h' = (h - f + 2P)/S + 1$

  where

$$S_j^{(i+1)} = X^{(i)} * F_j + b_j$$

Common Settings

- $n_F =$ powers of 2 (e.g. 32, 64, 128, 512)
  - $f = 3, S = 1, P = 1$
  - $f = 5, S = 1, P = 2$
  - $f = 5, S = 2, P =$? (whatever fits)
  - $f = 1, S = 1, P = 1$

Pooling layer

- Make the representation smaller and more manageable
- Operates over each response/activation map independently



Simple Example: Max Pooling one response map

1	1	2	4
5	6	7	8
3	2	1	0
1	2	3	4

max pool with 2×2 regions & stride 2

6	8
3	4

Max Pooling

- Denote the max pooling operation with regions of size $r \times r$ and stride s with

$$\tilde{H} = \text{MaxPool}(H, r, s)$$

- If H has size $w \times h \times D$
  $\implies$ $\tilde{H}$ has size $(w-r)/s+1 \times (h-r)/s+1 \times D$

- Mathematical expression for each entry in $\tilde{H}$:

$$\tilde{H}_{ijk} = \max_{\substack{i' \le l \le i'+r-1 \\ j' \le m \le j'+r-1}} H_{lmk} \quad \text{where } i' = (i-1)s+1, j' = (j-1)s+1$$

- Common settings $r = 2, s = 2$ or $r = 3, s = 2$.

Max Pooling

- Denote the max pooling operation with regions of size $r \times r$ and stride s with

$$\tilde{H} = \text{MaxPool}(H, r, s)$$

- If H has size $w \times h \times D$
  $\implies$ $\tilde{H}$ has size $(w-r)/s+1 \times (h-r)/s+1 \times D$

- Mathematical expression for each entry in $\tilde{H}$:

$$\tilde{H}_{ijk} = \max_{\substack{i' \le l \le i'+r-1 \\ j' \le m \le j'+r-1}} H_{lmk} \quad \text{where } i' = (i-1)s+1, j' = (j-1)s+1$$

- Common settings $r = 2, s = 2$ or $r = 3, s = 2$.

Jacobian Computations for a Max Pooling layer

- Let

$$\tilde{H} = \text{MaxPool}(H, r, r)$$

- For backprop need to calculate:

$$\frac{\partial \text{vec}(\tilde{H})}{\partial \text{vec}(H)} = ?$$

- Can write MaxPool operation as a matrix operation

$$\text{vec}(\tilde{H}) = A_{\text{MaxPool}} \text{vec}(H)$$

$$\Longrightarrow$$

$$\frac{\partial \text{vec}(\tilde{H})}{\partial \text{vec}(H)} = A_{\text{MaxPool}}$$

- What are the entries of A_{MaxPool}?

Jacobian Computations for a Max Pooling layer

- Let

$$\tilde{H} = \text{MaxPool}(H, r, r)$$

- For backprop need to calculate:

$$\frac{\partial \text{vec}(\tilde{H})}{\partial \text{vec}(H)} = ?$$

- Can write MaxPool operation as a matrix operation

$$\text{vec}(\tilde{H}) = A_{\text{MaxPool}} \text{vec}(H)$$

$$\Longrightarrow$$

$$\frac{\partial \text{vec}(\tilde{H})}{\partial \text{vec}(H)} = A_{\text{MaxPool}}$$

- What are the entries of A_{MaxPool}?

Simple Example: Max Pooling as a matrix multiplication

$$(\tilde{H}_{11}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{vec}(H)$$

Simple Example: Max Pooling as a matrix multiplication

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{H}_{11} \\ \tilde{H}_{12} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{vec}(H)$$

Simple Example: Max Pooling as a matrix multiplication

1	1	2	4
5	6	7	8
3	2	1	0
1	2	3	4

$\xrightarrow{\textbf{max pool} \text{ mask region } 3}$

0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{H}_{11} \\ \tilde{H}_{12} \\ \tilde{H}_{21} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{vec}(H)$$

Simple Example: Max Pooling as a matrix multiplication

1	1	2	4
5	6	7	8
3	2	1	0
1	2	3	4

$\xrightarrow{\textbf{max pool} \text{ mask region } 4}$

0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{H}_{11} \\ \tilde{H}_{12} \\ \tilde{H}_{21} \\ \tilde{H}_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{vec}(H)$$

Simple Example: Max Pooling as a matrix multiplication

1	1	2	4
5	6	7	8
3	2	1	0
1	2	3	4

$\xrightarrow{\textbf{max pool} \text{ mask region } 4}$

0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{H}_{11} \\ \tilde{H}_{12} \\ \tilde{H}_{21} \\ \tilde{H}_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_{\text{MaxPool}} \text{ and has size } 4 \times 16} \text{vec}(H)$$

What is A_{AvgPool}?

- Say in each region we pool by **averaging** the responses instead of choosing the max.
- What is A_{AvgPool} for our simple example?

preview:



Architecture of Modern ConvNets

LeNet-5 [LeCun et al., 1998]



- Conv filters are 5×5, applied at stride 1
- Pooling layers are 2×2, applied at stride 2
- Architecture is
  [CONV-POOL-TANH-CONV-POOL-TANH-FC-TANH-FC-TANH-FC]

AlexNet [Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



- **Input**: $227 \times 227 \times 3$ image
- **First layer**:
  - Convolutional layer
  - 96 filters of size $11 \times 11 \times 3$ applied at stride 4
- What is the output volume size?

AlexNet [Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



- **Input**: $227 \times 227 \times 3$ image
- **First layer**:
  - Convolutional layer
  - 96 filters of size $11 \times 11 \times 3$ applied at stride 4
- What is the output volume size? $55 \times 55 \times 96$
  as (227 -11)/4 + 1 = 55.

AlexNet [Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



- **Input**: $227 \times 227 \times 3$ image
- **First layer**:
  - Convolutional layer
  - 96 filters of size $11 \times 11 \times 3$ applied at stride 4
- What is the output volume size? $55 \times 55 \times 96$
- # of parameters: $11 * 11 * 3 * 96 = 35k$

AlexNet [Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



- **Input size**: $227 \times 227 \times 3$ image
- **Size after 1st layer**: $55 \times 55 \times 96$ image
- **Second layer**:
  - Pooling layer
  - 3×3 regions applied at stride 2
- What is the output volume size?

AlexNet [Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



- **Input size**: $227 \times 227 \times 3$ image
- **Size after 1st layer**: $55 \times 55 \times 96$ image
- **Second layer**:
  - Pooling layer
  - 3×3 regions applied at stride 2
- What is the output volume size? $27 \times 27 \times 96$
  as (55 - 3)/2 + 1 = 27.

AlexNet [Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture:

Output size	Layer type	Details
$227 \times 227 \times 3$	Input	
$55 \times 55 \times 96$	Conv	96 filters, size 11×11 at stride 4, pad 0
$27 \times 27 \times 96$	Max Pool	3×3 regions at stride 2
$27 \times 27 \times 96$	Norm	Normalization layer
$27 \times 27 \times 256$	Conv	256 filters, size 5×5 at stride 1, pad 2
$13 \times 13 \times 256$	Max Pool	3×3 regions at stride 2
$13 \times 13 \times 256$	Norm	Normalization layer
$13 \times 13 \times 384$	Conv	384 filters, size 3×3 at stride 1, pad 1
$13 \times 13 \times 384$	Conv	384 filters, size 3×3 at stride 1, pad 1
$13 \times 13 \times 256$	Conv	256 filters, size 3×3 at stride 1, pad 1
$6 \times 6 \times 256$	Max Pool	3×3 regions at stride 2
4096	Fully connected	4096 neurons
4096	Fully connected	4096 neurons
1000	Fully connected	1000 neurons (class scores)

AlexNet [Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Details/Retrospectives:

- First use of ReLU
- Used Normalization layers (not common anymore).
- Heavy data augmentation
- Dropout training with $p = 0.5$
- Batch size: 128
- SGD + Momentum with $\alpha = 0.9$
- Learning rate initialized: 1e-2; divided by 10 when validation accuracy plateaus.
- L2 weight decay 5e-4
- 18.2% (1 CNN) $\rightarrow$ 15.4% (7 CNN ensemble)

VGGNet [Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

ConvNet Configuration

A	A-LRN	B	C	D	E
11 weight layers	11 weight layers	13 weight layers	16 weight layers	16 weight layers	19 weight layers
input (224 × 224 RGB image)					
conv3-64	conv3-64 **LRN**	conv3-64 **conv3-64**	conv3-64 conv3-64	conv3-64 conv3-64	conv3-64 conv3-64
maxpool					
conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128 **conv3-128**	conv3-128 conv3-128	conv3-128 conv3-128	conv3-128 conv3-128
maxpool					
conv3-256 conv3-256	conv3-256 conv3-256	conv3-256 conv3-256	conv3-256 conv3-256 **conv1-256**	conv3-256 conv3-256 **conv3-256**	conv3-256 conv3-256 **conv3-256**
maxpool					
conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512 **conv1-512**	conv3-512 conv3-512 **conv3-512**	conv3-512 conv3-512 **conv3-512**
maxpool					
conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512 **conv1-512**	conv3-512 conv3-512 **conv3-512**	conv3-512 conv3-512 **conv3-512**
maxpool					
FC-4096					
FC-4096					
FC-1000					
soft-max					

The 6 different architecures of VGG Net. Configuration D produced the best results

Best model marked by the yellow box.

VGGNet [Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

ConvNet Configuration

A	A-LRN	B	C	D	E
11 weight layers	11 weight layers	13 weight layers	16 weight layers	16 weight layers	19 weight layers
input (224 × 224 RGB image)					
conv3-64	conv3-64 **LRN**	conv3-64 **conv3-64**	conv3-64 conv3-64	conv3-64 conv3-64	conv3-64 conv3-64
maxpool					
conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128 **conv3-128**	conv3-128 conv3-128	conv3-128 conv3-128	conv3-128 conv3-128
maxpool					
conv3-256 conv3-256	conv3-256 conv3-256	conv3-256 conv3-256	conv3-256 conv3-256 **conv1-256**	conv3-256 conv3-256 **conv3-256**	conv3-256 conv3-256 **conv3-256**
maxpool					
conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512 **conv1-512**	conv3-512 conv3-512 **conv3-512**	conv3-512 conv3-512 **conv3-512**
maxpool					
conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512 **conv1-512**	conv3-512 conv3-512 **conv3-512**	conv3-512 conv3-512 **conv3-512**
maxpool					
FC-4096					
FC-4096					
FC-1000					
soft-max					

The 6 different architecures of VGG Net. Configuration D produced the best results

- Only filters of size 3×3 in the convolutional layers, stride 1 and pad 1.
- Relatively sparse use of **Max Pooling** with region size 2×2 and stride 2.
- **Improved ILSVRC Performance** top 5 error:
  2013 best 11.2% $\rightarrow$ 7.3% (VGGNet ensemble)

VGGNet architecture [Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Output size	Layer type	Memory	# parameters
$224 \times 224 \times 3$	Input	224*224*3 = 150K	0
$224 \times 224 \times 64$	Conv	224*224*64 = 3.2M	1,728 = (3*3*3)*64
$224 \times 224 \times 64$	Conv	224*224*64 = 3.2M	36,864 = (3*3*64)*64
$112 \times 112 \times 64$	Max Pool	112*112*64 = 800K	0
$112 \times 112 \times 128$	Conv	112*112*128 = 1.6M	73,728 = (3*3*64)*128
$112 \times 112 \times 128$	Conv	112*112*128 = 1.6M	147,456 = (3*3*128)*128
$56 \times 56 \times 128$	Max Pool	56*56*128 = 400K	0
$56 \times 56 \times 256$	Conv	56*56*256 = 800K	294,912 = (3*3*128)*256
$56 \times 56 \times 256$	Conv	56*56*256 = 800K	589,824 = (3*3*256)*256
$56 \times 56 \times 256$	Conv	56*56*256 = 800K	589,824 = (3*3*256)*256
$28 \times 28 \times 256$	Max Pool	28*28*256 = 200K	0
$28 \times 28 \times 512$	Conv	28*28*512 = 400K	1,179,648 = (3*3*256)*512
$28 \times 28 \times 512$	Conv	28*28*512 = 400K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$28 \times 28 \times 512$	Conv	28*28*512 = 400K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$14 \times 14 \times 512$	Max Pool	14*14*512 = 100K	0
$14 \times 14 \times 512$	Conv	14*14*512 = 100K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$14 \times 14 \times 512$	Conv	14*14*512 = 100K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$14 \times 14 \times 512$	Conv	14*14*512 = 100K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$7 \times 7 \times 512$	Max Pool	7*7*512 = 25K	0
$1 \times 1 \times 4096$	Fully connected	4096	102,760,448 = 7*7*512*4096
$1 \times 1 \times 4096$	Fully connected	4096	16,777,216 = 4096*4096
$1 \times 1 \times 1000$	Fully connected	1000	4,096,000 = 4096*1000

VGGNet architecture [Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Output size	Layer type	Memory	# parameters
$224 \times 224 \times 3$	Input	224*224*3 = 150K	0
$224 \times 224 \times 64$	Conv	224*224*64 = 3.2M	1,728 = (3*3*3)*64
$224 \times 224 \times 64$	Conv	224*224*64 = 3.2M	36,864 = (3*3*64)*64
$112 \times 112 \times 64$	Max Pool	112*112*64 = 800K	0
$112 \times 112 \times 128$	Conv	112*112*128 = 1.6M	73,728 = (3*3*64)*128
$112 \times 112 \times 128$	Conv	112*112*128 = 1.6M	147,456 = (3*3*128)*128
$56 \times 56 \times 128$	Max Pool	56*56*128 = 400K	0
$56 \times 56 \times 256$	Conv	56*56*256 = 800K	294,912 = (3*3*128)*256
$56 \times 56 \times 256$	Conv	56*56*256 = 800K	589,824 = (3*3*256)*256
$56 \times 56 \times 256$	Conv	56*56*256 = 800K	589,824 = (3*3*256)*256
$28 \times 28 \times 256$	Max Pool	28*28*256 = 200K	0
$28 \times 28 \times 512$	Conv	28*28*512 = 400K	1,179,648 = (3*3*256)*512
$28 \times 28 \times 512$	Conv	28*28*512 = 400K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$28 \times 28 \times 512$	Conv	28*28*512 = 400K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$14 \times 14 \times 512$	Max Pool	14*14*512 = 100K	0
$14 \times 14 \times 512$	Conv	14*14*512 = 100K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$14 \times 14 \times 512$	Conv	14*14*512 = 100K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$14 \times 14 \times 512$	Conv	14*14*512 = 100K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$7 \times 7 \times 512$	Max Pool	7*7*512 = 25K	0
$1 \times 1 \times 4096$	Fully connected	4096	102,760,448 = 7*7*512*4096
$1 \times 1 \times 4096$	Fully connected	4096	16,777,216 = 4096*4096
$1 \times 1 \times 1000$	Fully connected	1000	4,096,000 = 4096*1000

- **Total memory**: 24M * 4 bytes ≈ 93MB per image (only for fwd pass, ≈*2 for bwd pass)
- **Total parameters**: 128 Million parameters

VGGNet architecture [Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Output size	Layer type	Memory	# parameters
$224 \times 224 \times 3$	Input	224*224*3 = 150K	0
$224 \times 224 \times 64$	Conv	224*224*64 = 3.2M	1,728 = (3*3*3)*64
$224 \times 224 \times 64$	Conv	224*224*64 = 3.2M	36,864 = (3*3*64)*64
$112 \times 112 \times 64$	Max Pool	112*112*64 = 800K	0
$112 \times 112 \times 128$	Conv	112*112*128 = 1.6M	73,728 = (3*3*64)*128
$112 \times 112 \times 128$	Conv	112*112*128 = 1.6M	147,456 = (3*3*128)*128
$56 \times 56 \times 128$	Max Pool	56*56*128 = 400K	0
$56 \times 56 \times 256$	Conv	56*56*256 = 800K	294,912 = (3*3*128)*256
$56 \times 56 \times 256$	Conv	56*56*256 = 800K	589,824 = (3*3*256)*256
$56 \times 56 \times 256$	Conv	56*56*256 = 800K	589,824 = (3*3*256)*256
$28 \times 28 \times 256$	Max Pool	28*28*256 = 200K	0
$28 \times 28 \times 512$	Conv	28*28*512 = 400K	1,179,648 = (3*3*256)*512
$28 \times 28 \times 512$	Conv	28*28*512 = 400K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$28 \times 28 \times 512$	Conv	28*28*512 = 400K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$14 \times 14 \times 512$	Max Pool	14*14*512 = 100K	0
$14 \times 14 \times 512$	Conv	14*14*512 = 100K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$14 \times 14 \times 512$	Conv	14*14*512 = 100K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$14 \times 14 \times 512$	Conv	14*14*512 = 100K	2,359,296 = (3*3*512)*512
$7 \times 7 \times 512$	Max Pool	7*7*512 = 25K	0
$1 \times 1 \times 4096$	Fully connected	4096	102,760,448 = 7*7*512*4096
$1 \times 1 \times 4096$	Fully connected	4096	16,777,216 = 4096*4096
$1 \times 1 \times 1000$	Fully connected	1000	4,096,000 = 4096*1000

- Most memory is in early convolutional layers.
- Most parameters in the early fully connected layers.

GoogLeNet [Szegedy et al., 2014]



- Convolution layer
- Pooling layer
- SoftMax layer

ILSVRC 2014 winner (6.7% top 5 error)

GoogLeNet: Inception Module



Use $1 \times 1 \times D$ filters to reduce the depth of the response volume before applying the larger more computationally expensive filters.

GoogLeNet: architecture

type	patch size/ stride	output size	depth	1×1	3×3 reduce	3×3	5×5 reduce	5×5	pool proj	params	ops
convolution	7×7/2	112×112×64	1							2.7K	34M
max pool	3×3/2	56×56×64	0								
convolution	3×3/1	56×56×192	2		64	192				112K	360M
max pool	3×3/2	28×28×192	0								
inception (3a)		28×28×256	2	64	96	128	16	32	32	159K	128M
inception (3b)		28×28×48	2	128	128	192	32	96	64	380K	304M
max pool	3×3/2	14×14×48	0								
inception (4a)		14×14×512	2	192	96	208	16	48	64	364K	73M
inception (4b)		14×14×512	2	160	112	224	24	64	64	437K	88M
inception (4c)		14×14×512	2	128	128	256	24	64	64	463K	100M
inception (4d)		14×14×528	2	112	144	288	32	64	64	580K	119M
inception (4e)		14×14×832	2	256	160	320	32	128	128	840K	170M
max pool	3×3/2	7×7×832	0								
inception (5a)		7×7×832	2	256	160	320	32	128	128	1072K	54M
inception (5b)		7×7×1 24	2	384	192	384	48	128	128	1388K	71M
avg pool	7×7/1	1×1×1 24	0								
dropout (40%)		1×1×1 24	0								
linear		1×1×1	1							1000K	1M
softmax		1×1×1	0								

- Only 5 million params! (Only one FC layer for convenience)
- Compared to AlexNet:
  - 12× less params
  - 2× more compute
  - Performance on ILSVRC: 6.67% (vs. 16.4%)

ResNet [He et al., 2015]



- ILSVRC 2015 winner (3.6% top 5 error)
- 2-3 weeks of training on 8 GPU machine
- At runtime: faster than a VGGNet! (even though it has 8× more layers)

← "shallow" version of winning entry.

Next slides from:

Deep Residual Networks, Deep Learning Gets Way Deeper by Kaiming He, ICML 2016 tutorial.

ResNets @ ILSVRC & COCO 2015 Competitions

- **1st places in all five main tracks**
  - ImageNet Classification: "*Ultra-deep*" 152-layer nets
  - ImageNet Detection: 16% better than 2nd
  - ImageNet Localization: 27% better than 2nd
  - COCO Detection: 11% better than 2nd
  - COCO Segmentation: 12% better than 2nd

*improvements are relative numbers

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition". CVPR 2016.

Revolution of Depth



Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition". CVPR 2016.

Going Deeper requires

- Care with initialization ✓
- Batch Normalization ✓

Is learning better networks as simple as stacking more layers?

Simply stacking layers?



- *Plain* nets: stacking 3x3 conv layers…
- 56-layer net has **higher training error** and test error than 20-layer net

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition". CVPR 2016.

Simply stacking layers?



- "Overly deep" plain nets have **higher training error**
- A general phenomenon, observed in many datasets

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition". CVPR 2016.

a shallower model (18 layers)



"extra" layers

a deeper counterpart (34 layers)

- Richer solution space
- A deeper model should not have **higher training error**
- A solution *by construction*:
  - original layers: copied from a learned shallower model
  - extra layers: set as identity
  - at least the same training error
- Optimization difficulties: solvers cannot find the solution when going deeper…

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition". CVPR 2016.

Deep Residual Learning

- Plaint net

any two stacked layers



$H(x)$ is any desired mapping,

hope the 2 weight layers fit $H(x)$

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition". CVPR 2016.

Deep Residual Learning

- Residual net



$H(x)$ is any desired mapping,

~~hope the 2 weight layers fit $H(x)$~~

hope the 2 weight layers fit $F(x)$

let $H(x) = F(x) + x$

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition". CVPR 2016.

Deep Residual Learning

- $F(x)$ is a residual mapping w.r.t. identity



- If identity were optimal, easy to set weights as 0
- If optimal mapping is closer to identity, easier to find small fluctuations

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition". CVPR 2016.

Network “Design”

plain net



ResNet

- Keep it simple
- Our basic design (VGG-style)
  - all 3x3 conv (almost)
  - spatial size /2 => # filters x2 (~same complexity per layer)
  - Simple design; just deep!
- Other remarks:
  - no hidden fc
  - no dropout

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. “Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition”. CVPR 2016.

CIFAR-10 experiments





- Deep ResNets can be trained without difficulties
- Deeper ResNets have **lower training error**, and also lower test error

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. “Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition”. CVPR 2016.

Revolution of Depth

AlexNet, 8 layers (ILSVRC 2012)



VGG, 19 layers (ILSVRC 2014)



GoogleNet, 22 layers (ILSVRC 2014)

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. “Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition”. CVPR 2016.

Revolution of Depth

AlexNet, 8 layers (ILSVRC 2012)

VGG, 19 layers (ILSVRC 2014)

ResNet, 152 layers (ILSVRC 2015)

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. “Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition”. CVPR 2016.

ResNet

- Batch Normalization after every CONV layer.
- Xavier/2 initialization from He et al.
- SGD + Momentum (0.9).
- Learning rate: 0.1, divided by 10 when validation error plateaus.
- Mini-batch size 256.
- Weight decay of 1e-5.
- No dropout used.

ImageNet experiments

- A practical design of going deeper



Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition". CVPR 2016.

Same trick as used in GoogLeNet



ResNet



GoogLeNet

Accuracy on ImageNet Vs network size



- Top-1 one-crop accuracy Vs amount of operations required for a single forward pass.
- The size of the blobs is proportional to the number of network parameters.

Fig: **An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications** by Canziani, Culurciello & Paszke.

Summary

- ConvNets stack CONV,ReLu,POOL layers and then FC layers.
- Trend towards smaller filters and deeper architectures.
- Trend towards fewer POOL & FC layers (just CONV)
- How can you implement a FC connected layer with a CONV layer?
- Typical architectures look like

  [(CONV-RELU)N-POOL?]*M-(FC-RELU)*K-SOFTMAX*

  where N is usually up to ~ 5, M is large, $0 \leq K \leq 2$.

  But recent advances (ResNet, GoogLeNet) challenge this architecture.