

Derivation of vector CRB

Magnus Jansson

2017/2019

Define the (vector) score function as

$$\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x}) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta} = [\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N]$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x})] &= \int \left(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &\stackrel{(A_1)}{=} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \underbrace{\int p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x}}_{=1} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

That is, under the regularity condition (A_1) , the score has mean zero. The covariance of $\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x})^T] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln p(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) \left(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln p(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right)^T \right] \\ &\triangleq \mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \text{ the Fisher information matrix.} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x})$ be any unbiased estimator of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. That is,

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}] = 0 = \int (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (4)$$

Computing the derivative on both sides for each θ_j , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \int (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &\stackrel{(A_1)}{=} \int (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x} - \int \underbrace{(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)^T}_{\text{1 at } j\text{-th position}} p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x} - (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)^T. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Hence, under (A_1) we have

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x})^T]}_{\text{cross cov.}} = \mathbf{I}. \quad (6)$$

Now define the joint vector

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta} \\ \mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

which has mean zero. Its covariance matrix is

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta} \\ \mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix} [(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta})^T, \mathbf{s}^T(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x})]\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \mathbf{Q}, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{C} \triangleq \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta})^T]$.
 \mathbf{Q} can be block diagonalized as

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{I}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ 0 & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{T}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{Q}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ -\mathbf{I}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{T}^T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

\mathbf{Q} is a covariance matrix and hence positive semidefinite. Then so must $\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{I}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ be:

$$\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{I}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \succeq \mathbf{0} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{C} \succeq \mathbf{I}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad (10)$$

which is the CRB! Looking at the diagonal elements, we have

$$\mathbf{C}_{ii} = \text{var}(\hat{\theta}_i - \theta_i) \geq [\mathbf{I}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})]_{ii}. \quad (11)$$

That is, the variance of a single parameter is lower bounded by the corresponding diagonal element of the inverse Fisher information matrix.

The estimator is said to be statistically efficient if

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (12)$$

This happens if (and essentially only if) $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{I}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x})$ (compare with the diagonalization operation in (9)) or

$$\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

which is the CRB condition for equality.

Further note that if

$$A_2: \int \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \int p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x} = 0 \quad (13)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \mathbb{E}[\{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})\} \{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})\}^T] \\ &= -[\mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Proof. We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})} \frac{\partial p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_i},$$

which leads to

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \underbrace{\frac{1}{p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})}. \quad (15)$$

Multiplying both sides with $p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ and taking the integral over \mathbf{x} , i.e. performing $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$, gives us the desired result. \square