

SYSTEM ESTIMATION METHODS III: SUBSPACE IDENTIFICATION

Many estimation procedures come from:

- Statistical Principles: ML, PEM, Bayesian Methods
(Fisher, Bayes, Åström, Bohlin, Box, Jenkins, ...)
- Stochastic Realization Theory: **Subspace Identification Methods**
(Ho, Kalman, Akaike, Faurre, Kailath, Lindquist, Picci, ...)

SUBSPACE METHODS

Advantages:

- Non-iterative
- Numerically robust
- Natural extension to MIMO

Disadvantages:

- Difficult to analyze
- Non-efficient in general (*exception*: Larimore CVA method, with white input)

MODEL

System (MIMO):

$$x_{t+1} = Ax_t + Bu_t + w_t$$

$$y_t = Cx_t + Du_t + v_t$$

Input: $u_t \in \mathbb{R}^m$

Process noise: $w_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$

State: $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Measurement noise: $v_t \in \mathbb{R}^p$

Output: $y_t \in \mathbb{R}^p$

Assumptions: $\{w_t\}$ and $\{v_t\}$ are white noise sequences

There are no constraints on A, B, C, D

BASIC IDEA

If not only u_t and y_t are measured, but also x_t , then estimating A, B, C, D is just a LS problem!

$$Y_t = \Theta \Phi_t + E_t$$

where

$$Y_t := \begin{bmatrix} x_{t+1} \\ y_t \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Theta := \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Phi_t := \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ u_t \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_t := \begin{bmatrix} w_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix}$$

Remaining problem: How can we estimate the state x_t ?

Idea: For some realizations, x_t can be interpreted as *predictors*

PREDICTORS

From the system equations:

$$\begin{aligned}y_t &= Cx_t + Du_t + v_t \\y_{t+1} &= Cx_{t+1} + Du_{t+1} + v_{t+1} \\&= CAx_t + CBu_t + Du_{t+1} + Cw_t + v_{t+1} \\&\vdots \\y_{t+k} &= CA^k x_t + \\&\quad CA^{k-1} Bu_t + CA^{k-2} Bu_{t+1} + \cdots + CBu_{t+k-1} + Du_{t+k} + \\&\quad CA^{k-1} w_t + CA^{k-2} w_{t+1} + \cdots + Cw_{t+k-1} + v_{t+k}\end{aligned}$$

PREDICTORS (CONT.)

or

$$Y_t^r = O^r x_t + S^r U_t^r + V_t \quad \text{Fundamental Equation}$$

where

$$Y^r := \begin{bmatrix} y_t \\ y_{t+1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{t+r-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad U^r := \begin{bmatrix} u_t \\ u_{t+1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{t+r-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad V_t := \begin{bmatrix} v_t \\ Cw_t + v_{t+1} \\ \vdots \\ CA^{r-2}w_t + CA^{r-3}w_{t+1} + \cdots + Cw_{t+r-2} + v_{t+r-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$O^r := \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{r-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad S^r := \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ CB & D & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ CA^{r-2}B & CA^{r-3}B & \cdots & CB & D \end{bmatrix}$$

PREDICTORS (CONT.)

If $u_t = \dots = u_{t+r-1} = 0$, then $O^r x_t$ is the predictor $\hat{Y}_{t|t-1}^r = E\{Y^r \mid y_{t-1}, u_{t-1}, \dots\}$.

This predictor thus correspond to a state vector in a particular realization.

Furthermore, if $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} := [\hat{Y}_{1|0}^r \quad \dots \quad \hat{Y}_{N|N-1}^r]$, then as $N \rightarrow \infty$:

1. The model has minimal order n iff $\text{rank}\{\hat{\mathbf{Y}}\} = n$ for all $r \geq n$
2. In innovations form: $x_t = L \hat{Y}_{t|t-1}^r$ for some $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times pr}$.

\Rightarrow We could estimate n from the “rank” of $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$, and choose x_t as $L \hat{Y}_{t|t-1}^r$ for some L

ESTIMATING THE PREDICTORS: BASIC 4SID APPROACH

Idea: Estimate the predictors $\hat{Y}_{t|t-1}^r$ via LS!

$$Y_t^r = \Theta \varphi_t^s + \Gamma U_t^l + E_t$$

where

$$\varphi_t^s := [y_{t-1}^T \quad \cdots \quad y_{t-s_1}^T \quad u_{t-1}^T \quad \cdots \quad u_{t-s_2}^T]^T$$

$$Y_t^r := [y_t^T \quad \cdots \quad y_{t+r-1}^T]^T, \quad U_t^l := [u_t^T \quad \cdots \quad u_{t+l-1}^T]^T$$

$$E_t := [\varepsilon_t^T \quad \cdots \quad \varepsilon_{t+r-1}^T]^T$$

$$\Theta := [\theta_1 \quad \cdots \quad \theta_r]^T, \quad \Gamma := [\gamma_1 \quad \cdots \quad \gamma_r]^T$$

and then:

$$\hat{Y}_{t|t-1}^r = \hat{\Theta} \varphi_t^s$$

User choices: Integers s_1, s_2, r and l

SUMMARY OF BASIC SUBSPACE ALGORITHM

1. Choose s_1, s_2, r and l , and apply LS to estimate Θ, Γ from

$$Y_t^r = \Theta \varphi_t^s + \Gamma U_t^l + E_t$$

Then, construct $\hat{Y}_{t|t-1}^r = \hat{\Theta} \varphi_t^s$ and $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = [\hat{Y}_{1|0}^r \quad \cdots \quad \hat{Y}_{N|N-1}^r]$

2. Estimate $\text{rank}\{\hat{\mathbf{Y}}\}$ and determine L to construct a well-conditioned $\hat{x}_t = L \hat{Y}_{t|t-1}^r$
3. Estimate A, B, C, D via LS on

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{t+1} \\ y_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_t \\ u_t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} w_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix}$$

DETAILS OF SUBSPACE METHODS

ESTIMATION OF THE (EXTENDED) OBSERVABILITY MATRIX

This equation can be written as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{O}^r \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{S}^r \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{V}$$

where

$$\mathbf{Y} := [Y_1^r \quad \cdots \quad Y_N^r]$$

$$\mathbf{X} := [x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_N]$$

$$\mathbf{U} := [U_1^r \quad \cdots \quad U_N^r]$$

$$\mathbf{V} := [V_1 \quad \cdots \quad V_N]$$

Objective: To estimate $\mathbf{O}^r \mathbf{X}$, given data \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{Y} .

Remark: A state transformation $A \rightarrow T^{-1}AT$, $C \rightarrow CT$ changes \mathbf{O}^r to $\mathbf{O}^r T$.

Thus, postmultiplying \mathbf{O}^r by an invertible T simply changes the resulting realization

ESTIMATION OF THE (EXTENDED) OBSERVABILITY MATRIX (CONT.)

To eliminate \mathbf{U} , post-multiply by a *projector* $\Pi_{\mathbf{U}^\perp}^\perp := I - \mathbf{U}^T (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{U}$, giving

$$\mathbf{Y}\Pi_{\mathbf{U}^\perp}^\perp = \mathbf{O}^r \mathbf{X}\Pi_{\mathbf{U}^\perp}^\perp + \mathbf{S}^r \mathbf{U}\Pi_{\mathbf{U}^\perp}^\perp + \mathbf{V}\Pi_{\mathbf{U}^\perp}^\perp = \mathbf{O}^r \mathbf{X}\Pi_{\mathbf{U}^\perp}^\perp + \mathbf{V}\Pi_{\mathbf{U}^\perp}^\perp$$

The noise term, $\mathbf{V}\Pi_{\mathbf{U}^\perp}^\perp$, can be eliminated using *instrumental variables*, i.e., post-multiplying by a matrix $\Phi^T := [\ \varphi_1^s \ \cdots \ \varphi_N^s \]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times s}$, so that

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{Y}\Pi_{\mathbf{U}^\perp}^\perp \Phi^T}_G = \mathbf{O}^r \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{X}\Pi_{\mathbf{U}^\perp}^\perp \Phi^T}_{\tilde{T}_N} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{V}\Pi_{\mathbf{U}^\perp}^\perp \Phi^T}_{V_N} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{O}^r \tilde{T}$$

ESTIMATION OF THE (EXTENDED) OBSERVABILITY MATRIX (CONT.)

Therefore, we need

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} V_N \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{V} \Pi_{\mathbf{U}^\perp}^\perp \Phi^T \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N V_t (\varphi_t^s)^T - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N V_t (U_t^r)^T \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N U_t^r (U_t^r)^T \right]^{-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N U_t^r (\varphi_t^s)^T \\
 &= \bar{E}\{V_t (\varphi_t^s)^T\} - \bar{E}\{V_t (U_t^r)^T\} \left[\bar{E}\{U_t^r (U_t^r)^T\} \right]^{-1} \bar{E}\{U_t^r (\varphi_t^s)^T\}
 \end{aligned}$$

In open loop, $\{U_t^r\}$ is independent of $\{V_t\}$, so $\bar{E}\{V_t (U_t^r)^T\} = 0$. The first term is 0 if we build φ_t^s from past data, e.g.,

$$\varphi_t^s = [y_{t-1} \quad \cdots \quad y_{t-s_1} \quad u_{t-1} \quad \cdots \quad u_{t-s_2}]^T$$

ESTIMATION OF THE (EXTENDED) OBSERVABILITY MATRIX (CONT.)

We also need $\tilde{T} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} \mathbf{X} \Pi_{\mathbf{U}^\perp}^\perp \Phi^T$ nonsingular. For the previous choice of φ_t^s this holds under some conditions (see Problem 10G.6 of Ljung)

ESTIMATION OF THE ORDER

If we have a noisy estimation $G = O^r T + E_N$, where E_N is small, then $\text{rank}\{O^r\}$ can be estimated via SVD:

$$G = USV^T = U \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_{n^*} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^T$$

The smaller σ_i 's ($< \varepsilon$ for some predetermined $\varepsilon > 0$) can be replaced by 0, thus replacing G by a lower rank matrix $G_1 = U_1 S_1 V_1^T$. From a previous remark, only U_1 is important for estimating A, B, C, D

ESTIMATION OF THE ORDER (CONT.)

Many methods using weighting matrices for the SVD step, i.e.,

$$W_1 G W_2 = U S V^T \approx U_1 S_1 V_1^T$$

and then consider: $\hat{O}^r = W_1^{-1} U_1 R$

where R is an arbitrary matrix (to determine a particular state realization)

W_2 corresponds to a state transformation

W_1 only affects \hat{O}^r when there is noise, so it affects the quality of \hat{A}, \hat{C}

Typical choices: $R = I$, $R = S_1$ or $R = S_1^{1/2}$

MOESP	$W_1 = I, W_2 = (N^{-1} \Phi \Pi_{U^T}^\perp \Phi^T)^{-1} \Phi \Pi_{U^T}^\perp$
N4SID	$W_1 = I, W_2 = (N^{-1} \Phi \Pi_{U^T}^\perp \Phi^T)^{-1} \Phi$
IVM	$W_1 = (N^{-1} Y \Pi_{U^T}^\perp Y)^{-1/2}, W_2 = (N^{-1} \Phi \Phi^T)^{-1/2}$
CVA	$W_1 = (N^{-1} Y \Pi_{U^T}^\perp Y)^{-1/2}, W_2 = (N^{-1} \Phi \Pi_{U^T}^\perp \Phi^T)^{-1/2}$

ESTIMATION OF A AND C

A and C can be estimated from the (extended) observability matrix

$$O^r := \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{r-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

by solving the equations:

$$\hat{C} = O^r(1:p, 1:n)$$

$$O^r(p+1:pr, 1:n) = O^r(1:p(r-1), 1:n)\hat{A}$$

ESTIMATION OF B AND D

Given \hat{A} and \hat{C} , we can estimate B and D (and the initial state x_0) via LS from:

$$y_t = \hat{C}(qI - \hat{A})^{-1} x_0 \delta_t + \hat{C}(qI - \hat{A})^{-1} B u_t + D u_t + \varepsilon_t$$

where $\varepsilon_t := \hat{C}(qI - \hat{A})^{-1} w_t + v_t$.

Remark: It is possible to find the state x_t , and from this to estimate the noise statistics. For more details, see Ljung, pp. 348-349.

SUMMARY OF SUBSPACE METHODS

1. From data, form $G = N^{-1} \mathbf{Y} \Pi_{\mathbf{U}^T}^\perp \Phi^T$
2. Choose W_1, W_2 and perform SVD: $\hat{G} = W_1 G W_2 = U S V^T \approx U_1 S_1 V_1^T$
3. Select R and define $\hat{O}^r = W_1^{-1} U_1 R$, from which estimate \hat{A}, \hat{C} via

$$\hat{C} = O^r(1:p, 1:n)$$

$$O^r(p+1:pr, 1:n) = O^r(1:p(r-1), 1:n) \hat{A}$$

4. Estimate \hat{B}, \hat{D} via LS from

$$y_t = \hat{C}(qI - \hat{A})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_0 \delta_t + \hat{C}(qI - \hat{A})^{-1} B u_t + D u_t + \varepsilon_t$$