

FAL3502 Project in Environmental Strategic Analysis 10 10.0 credits

Projektkurs i miljöstrategisk analys 10

This is a translation of the Swedish, legally binding, course syllabus.

Establishment

The official course syllabus is valid from the autumn/spring semester 2025 as decided by the Faculty Board: HS-2025-0986. Date of decision: 2025-06-12.

Grading scale

P, F

Education cycle

Third cycle

Specific prerequisites

The course is primarily open for PhD students in environmental strategic analysis.

Language of instruction

The language of instruction is specified in the course offering information in the course catalogue.

Intended learning outcomes

The aim of the course is to give deeper insights in topics of relevance for environmental strategic analysis.

Course contents

The course is directed to PhD students in Environmental strategic research, and is done as a project work. The work should contain elements such as goal formulation, data gathering, presentation and discussion or analysis of results. An example of a relevant project can be a research project performed for an agency or a company and presented in a user friendly report.

The project should normally be separate from the students PhD thesis work. If the project work and the thesis work overlap, it should be clearly explained. The project can be done in cooperation with others. If so, the contribution of the student and of co-workers should be clearly described. The project work should equal 270 hours of fulltime work. Overlaps with PhD work and work done by other contributors to the project should not be included.

Examination

• RAP1 - Report, 10.0 credits, grading scale: P, F

Based on recommendation from KTH's coordinator for disabilities, the examiner will decide how to adapt an examination for students with documented disability.

The examiner may apply another examination format when re-examining individual students.

If the course is discontinued, students may request to be examined during the following two academic years.

A summary of the project must be written by the PhD student in collaboration with the responsible supervisor. The summary should contain name of the project, financing, project partners, description of work in the project and amount of hours full time in total, description of the PhD students tasks and otherco-workers role in them, and published result. The summary should be sent to, and approved by, the contact person for the course before the course can be examined.

Other requirements for final grade

Examination of the course is normally done through a written report, but in special cases an oral presentations can be accepted as examination.

Ethical approach

• All members of a group are responsible for the group's work.

• In any assessment, every student shall honestly disclose any help received and sources used.
• In an oral assessment, every student shall be able to present and answer questions about the entire assignment and solution.