



SH2007 Research Methodology in Physics 3.0 credits

Research Methodology in Physics

This is a translation of the Swedish, legally binding, course syllabus.

Establishment

The official course syllabus is valid from autumn semester 2026 as decided by the Director of First and Second Cycle Education. Decision date: 2026-08-17

Grading scale

P, F

Education cycle

Second cycle

Main field of study

Engineering Physics

Specific prerequisites

English B / English 6

Intended learning outcomes

As a professional physicist/engineer, whether you are active in industry, academia or a governmental authority, you must be able to orient yourself in a specific scientific or technical field, form an opinion on a result or statement, and summarize and present your own or

others work. In a typical 'philosophy of science' course you learn about the conditions under which research in general, fundamental or technical, is conducted. In this course, this will be put in a 'physics' context. That is, how does a physicist assess other peoples results, that may not be within his or her main subject? How should technical scientific results in physics be communicated to colleagues in an efficient and professional way?

In the course, you as a student will work with already published scientific articles. By studying the articles in detail, you will be trained in forming your own opinion on the scientific quality of the work.

After having completed the course, you should be able to:

- Summarize, assess and present a scientific paper in front of an audience of peers
- Describe the peer review system in the academic world, specifically within physics
- Evaluate the validity and possible errors in conclusions based on complex data

Course contents

The course will be conducted in seminar form, where invited speakers will discuss various aspects of the topics above. As a student, you should, apart from actively taking part in the seminars, also read, form an opinion on, and present a scientific paper. The presentations will be done under conference-like forms, during two days at the end of the course. There too, you are expected to take part actively, thus contributing to the quality of the seminar, and also you get the opportunity to listen to well prepared presentations from a broad spectrum of research fields.

Examination

- SEMA - Mandatory seminars, 3.0 credits, grading scale: P, F

Based on recommendation from KTH's coordinator for disabilities, the examiner will decide how to adapt an examination for students with documented disability.

The examiner may apply another examination format when re-examining individual students.

If the course is discontinued, students may request to be examined during the following two academic years.

Ethical approach

- All members of a group are responsible for the group's work.
- In any assessment, every student shall honestly disclose any help received and sources used.
- In an oral assessment, every student shall be able to present and answer questions about the entire assignment and solution.